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## Editorial

The number of measures included in these Circulars is now 84927.

| Observer | WDS code | Pairs | Measures | Method/source |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |
| A. Ahad | AHD | 1 | 10 | Internet astrometry |
| Astro. Assoc. Queensland | AAQ | 16 | 107 | CCD imaging |
| A. Alzner | ALZ | 72 | 243 | Lyot Micrometer |
| R. W. Argyle | ARY | 56 | 139 | RETEL micrometer |
| J.- F. Courtot | CTT | 35 | 116 | RETEL, homemade filar, Lyot micrometer |
| A. Debackère | DBR | 1 | 1 | Internet astrometry |
| J. Greaves | GRV | 59 | 59 | Internet astrometry |
| W. Knapp | KPP | 40 | 40 | CCD astrometry |
| G. Morris | GRM | 22 | 22 | CMOS astrometry |
| N. Webster | WST | 48 | 48 | Meade reticle eyepiece |
| TOTALS |  | 350 | 776 |  |

Bob Argyle, 2020 June

## Useful sites

The following websites also contain a considerable amount of interesting material for the serious double star observer and no claim is made for the completeness of the list. If anyone knows of any others please contact me:

The Washington Double Star catalogue - the complete reference for visual double stars - updated nightly. The site also contains the Sixth Catalogue of Visual Binary Star Orbits and much more at http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds
Journal for Double Star Observations (www. jdso.org)
Observations et Travaux (in French). A journal published by the Société Astronomique de France which often contains double star observations. The SAF Double Star Commission has a website at https://boutique-saf-astronomie.com
El Observador de Estrellas Dobles (in Spanish)
(www.elobservadordeestrellasdobles.wordpress.com)
Observatori Astronòmic del Garraf (www.oagarraf.net)
Il Bollettino delle Stelle Doppie (in Italian)
(https://sites.google.com/site/ilbollettinodellestelledoppie/)
The Double Star Section of the Astronomical Society of Southern Africa
(http://assa.ac.za/sections/deep-sky/doublestars/news-and-articles)
In addition the Stelle Doppie Double Star Database run by Gianluca Sordiglioni allows the WDS catalogue to be quizzed with various search parameters. You can get a user name and password at stelledoppie.goaction.it
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# MICROMETER MEASURES OF DOUBLE STARS IN 2018 

Bob Argyle, Lyndhurst, Ely Road, Waterbeach, Cambridge, CB25 9NW, UK
E-mail: rwa@ast.cam.ac.uk

## Introduction

In this publication, the author presents his micrometric measurements which were mostly made between 2018.0 and 2019.0. A small number of pairs have mean epochs outside this range either due to delay in getting a sufficient number of observations to form a mean, or which were inadvertently left out of earlier papers. The 8 -inch $\mathrm{f} / 14$ Cooke refractor at the Observatories of the University of Cambridge has again been used for this work. It is equipped with a RETEL micrometer at a power of x 450 . Using a Barlow lens, the screw constant is $12^{\prime \prime} .45$ per revolution which allows an equivalent reading accuracy of $\pm 0^{\prime \prime} .025$. The scale and orientation of the micrometer is derived at the beginning and end of each observing session using a number of fixed, wide pairs with astrometry from the Hipparcos satellite.

Measurements are arranged as usual (see Courtot \& Argyle ${ }^{1}$ for more details). Table 1 gives the name of the pairs using the WDS nomenclature ${ }^{2}$ with the following codes and contains 248 measurements of 79 systems:

| KUI | Kuiper, G. P. | SHJ | South \& Herschel | STFA | Struve, W. Appendix |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | Herschel, W. | STF | Struve, F. G. W. | STT | Struve, O. |
| STTA | Struve, O. Appendix | BU | Burnham, S. W. | STFB | Struve, W. Appendix |
| S | South, J. |  |  |  |  |

The protocols followed here for measuring are very similar to earlier publications and consist basically of multiple double measures of separation (usually four or five) and repeated measures of position angle (usually four to six) taken on several different evenings taken together to get the final mean values of position angle and angular distance.

Table 3 gives the residuals from known orbits. The orbital elements come from the online version of the 6th USNO Catalogue of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars ${ }^{3}$.
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Table 1: Measures of double stars

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | $\mathrm{V}_{a}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PA } \\ \left(^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Epoch <br> (Julian) | N | Obs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF3062 |  | 00063 | +5826 | 6.42 | 7.32 | 4.4 | 1.42 | 2018.586 | 2 | ARY |
| H 517 | AB | 00369 | +3343 | 4.36 | 7.08 | 174.5 | 36.22 | 2019.081 | 3 | ARY |
| STF73 | AB | 00550 | +2338 | 6.12 | 6.54 | 337.5 | 1.23 | 2019.087 | 4 | ARY |
| STF138 | AB | 01360 | +0739 | 7.50 | 7.63 | 246.3 | 1.65 | 2015.558 | 2 | ARY |
| STF202 |  | 02020 | $+0246$ | 4.10 | 5.17 | 263.5 | 1.93 | 2019.087 | 4 | ARY |
| STF232 |  | 02147 | +3024 | 7.82 | 7.90 | 69.4 | 6,74 | 2019.095 | 2 | ARY |
| STF239 |  | 02174 | +2845 | 7.09 | 7.83 | 212.2 | 13.95 | 2019.095 | 2 | ARY |
| STF333 | AB | 02592 | +2120 | 5.17 | 5.57 | 210.8 | 1.48 | 2019.103 | 2 | ARY |
| STF425 | AB | 03401 | $+3407$ | 7.52 | 7.60 | 60.9 | 2.11 | 2016.460 | 3 | ARY |
| STF554 |  | 04301 | +1538 | 5.70 | 8.12 | 14.6 | 1.36 | 2018.103 | 2 | ARY |
| STF698 | AB | 05252 | +3451 | 6.65 | 8.33 | 348.2 | 31.38 | 2019.201 | 2 | ARY |
| STT747 | AB | 05350 | -0600 | 4.70 | 5.51 | 223.9 | 36.07 | 2018.564 |  | ARY |
| STF749 | AB | 05371 | +2655 | 6.54 | 6.55 | 323.5 | 1.13 | 2019.223 | 2 | ARY |
| STF753 | AB-C | 05386 | +3030 | 5.46 | 8.41 | 269.1 | 12.43 | 2019.201 | 2 | ARY |
| STF764 |  | 05413 | +2929 | 6.38 | 7.08 | 14.6 | 25.84 | 2019.216 | 2 | ARY |
| STF785 | AB | 05459 | +2555 | 7.27 | 8.32 | 348.0 |  | 2019.223 | 2 | ARY |
| BU1008 |  | 06149 | +2230 | 3.52 | 6.15 | 254.6 | 1.68 | 2018.710 | 2 | ARY |
| STF982 | AB | 06546 | +1311 | 4.75 | 7.80 | 145.1 | 7.28 | 2018.973 | 4 | ARY |
| STF1083 |  | 07256 | +2030 | 7.32 | 8.13 | 225.5 | 6.86 | 2019.288 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1110 | AB | 07346 | +3153 | 1.93 | 2.97 | 53.1 | 5.51 | 2019.286 | 8 | ARY |
| STTA89 |  | 07510 | $+3137$ | 6.83 | 7.69 | 84.0 | 76.58 | 2017.288 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1177 |  | 08056 | $+2732$ | 6.69 | 7.41 | 351.0 | 3.45 | 2019.020 | 4 | ARY |
| STF1196 | AB | 08122 | +1739 | 5.30 | 6.25 | 9.3 | 1.17 | 2019.282 | 5 | ARY |
| STF1196 | AB,C | 08122 | +1739 | 4.92 | 5.85 | 68.0 | 6.01 | 2019.282 | 5 | ARY |
| STT191 |  | 08248 | +2009 | 7.41 | 8.62 | 191.7 | 36.85 | 2019.299 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1224 | A,BC | 08267 | +2432 | 6.92 | 7.53 | 52.7 | 5.65 | 2019.328 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1223 |  | 08268 | +2656 | 6.16 | 6.21 | 219.4 | 5.24 | 2019.328 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1273 | AB, C | 08468 | +0625 | 3.49 | 6.66 | 310.8 | 2.98 | 2019.258 |  | ARY |
| STF1291 | AB | 08542 | +3035 | 6.09 | 6.37 | 310.2 | 1.51 | 2019.374 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1487 |  | 10556 | $+2445$ | 4.48 | 6.30 | 114.3 | 6.53 | 2018.000 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1523 | AB | 11182 | +3132 | 4.33 | 4.80 | 154.6 | 1.99 | 2019.384 | 7 | ARY |
| STF1536 | AB | 11239 | +1032 | 4.06 | 6.71 | 96.6 | 2.00 | 2019.371 | 4 | ARY |
| STT575 | AB | 11416 | $+3145$ | 5.79 | 9.75 | 311.4 | 44.62 | 2017.764 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1615 | AB | 12141 | $+3247$ | 6.99 | 8.61 | 88.4 | 26.25 | 2016.938 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1670 | AB | 12417 | -0127 | 3.48 | 3.53 | 357.4 | 2.90 | 2019.369 | , | ARY |
| STF1677 |  | 12453 | -0353 | 7.30 | 8.12 | 348.8 | 16.19 | 2018.681 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1678 |  | 12454 | +1422 | 7.16 | 7.68 | 169.1 | 38.12 | 2015.408 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1728 | AB | 13100 | +1732 | 4.85 | 5.53 | 193 | 0.59 | 2019.413 | 1 | ARY |
| STF1744 | AB | 13239 | $+5456$ | 2.23 | 3.88 | 154.3 | 14.58 | 2019.514 |  | ARY |
| STF1764 | AB | 13377 | $+0223$ | 6.79 | 8.56 | 31.4 | 16.05 | 2018.413 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1821 | AB | 14135 | $+5147$ | 4.53 | 6.62 | 235.3 | 13.79 | 2019.514 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1864 | AB | 14407 | +1625 | 4.88 | 5.79 | 112.4 | 5.37 | 2019.500 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1889 | AB | 14495 | +5122 | 6.53 | 9.64 | 93.4 | 14.97 | 2015.591 | 3 | ARY |
| STF1888 | AB | 14514 | +1906 | 4.76 | 6.95 | 298.4 | 5.18 | 2019.434 | 8 | ARY |
| STT288 |  | 14534 | +1542 | 6.89 | 7.55 | 160.4 | 1.14 | 2019.547 | 2 | ARY |
| STF1938 | $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Bb}$ | 15245 | $+3723$ | 7.09 | 7.63 | 5.2 | 2.32 | 2019.556 | 1 | ARY |


| STF2032 | AB | 16147 | +3352 | 5.62 | 6.49 | 240.2 | 7.23 | 2019.528 | 3 | ARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STF2084 |  | 16413 | +3136 | 2.95 | 5.40 | 110.3 | 1.63 | 2019.557 | 3 | ARY |
| STF327 | AB | 17150 | +2450 | 3.12 | 8.3 | 292.7 | 13.67 | 2019.575 | 1 | ARY |
| STF2262 | AB | 18031 | -0811 | 5.27 | 5.86 | 292.7 | 1.61 | 2019.537 | 1 | ARY |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF2272 | AB | 18055 | +0230 | 4.22 | 6.17 | 123.9 | 6.62 | 2019.540 | 4 | ARY |
| STT588 | AB | 19250 | +1157 | 5.24 | 8.65 | 281.2 | 107.61 | 2018.786 | 2 | ARY |
| STT588 | BC | 19250 | +1157 | 8.65 | 10.34 | 267.0 | 44.82 | 2018.786 | 2 | ARY |
| STF2799 | AB | 21289 | +1105 | 7.37 | 7.44 | 261.5 | 2.11 | 2018.881 | 3 | ARY |
| SHJ355 | AC | 23300 | +5833 | 4.87 | 7.23 | 269.4 | 75.33 | 2015.594 | 2 | ARY |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF3050 | AB | 23595 | +3343 | 6.46 | 6.72 | 342.9 | 2.46 | 2019.087 | 4 | ARY |

Table 2: Residuals from known orbits

| Pair | ADS | Residual( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ ) |  | Orbit | Period (yrs) | Date | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PA $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep (' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| STF 73 | 755 | $+3.9$ | $+0.06$ | Muterspaugh | 167.51 | 2010 | 2 |
| STF202 | 1615 | $+2.8$ | $+0.09$ | Prieur | 3267.4 | 2017 | 4 |
| STF333 | 2257 | $+0.8$ | $+0.16$ | Rica | 1215.953 | 2012 | 4 |
| STF425 | 2668 | -0.2 | $+0.36$ | Kiyaeva | 947 | 2018 | 4 |
| STF554 | 3264 | -0.1 | -0.19 | Baize | 180.0 | 1980 | 4 |
| STF749 | 4208 | $+4.5$ | -0.05 | Scardia | 986.6 | 2007 | 4 |
| BU1008 | 4841 | $+2.8$ | $+0.07$ | Baize | 473.7 | 1980 | 5 |
| STF982 | 5559 | $+2.7$ | $-0.05$ | Mason | 1898.12 | 2014 | 4 |
| STF1110 | 6175 | +1.1 | $+0.18$ | Docobo | 459.8 | 2014 | 3 |
| STF1196AB | 6650 | +1.8 | $+0.03$ | Josties \& Mason | 59.98 | 2018 | 1 |
| STF1273 | 6993 | $+0.8$ | $+0.16$ | Drummond | 589 | 2014 | 4 |
| STF1523 | 8119 | -0.3 | -0.14 | Mason | 59.878 | 1995 | 1 |
| STF1536 | 8148 | +4.2 | $-0.21$ | Söderhjelm | 186 | 1999 | 2 |
| STF1670 | 8630 | -0.9 | $+0.04$ | Scardia | 169.104 | 2007 | 2 |
| STF1728 | 8804 | +1.1 | -0.18 | Muterspaugh | 25.852 | 2015 | 1 |
| STF1888 | 9413 | +1.1 | $-0.05$ | Söderhjelm | 151.6 | 1999 | 2 |
| STT288 | 9425 | +4.4 | $+0.19$ | Heintz | 313.0 | 1998 | 4 |
| STF1938 | 9626 | $+2.5$ | +0.08 | Kiyaeva | 265 | 2014 | 2 |
| STF2032 | 9979 | +1.3 | -0.02 | Raghavan | 726 | 2009 | 4 |
| STF2084 | 10157 | $+1.5$ | $+0.25$ | Söderhjelm | 34.45 | 1999 | 1 |
| STF2262 | 11005 | $+2.9$ | $+0.13$ | Södehjelm | 257.0 | 1999 | 3 |
| STF2272 | 11046 | +1.7 | -0.03 | Eggenberger | 88.37 | 2008 | 1 |
| STF2799 | 15007 | $+3.8$ | +0.22 | Hartkopf | 978.0 | 2011 | 4 |
| STF3050 | 17149 | +1.0 | 0.00 | Hartkopf | 717.0 | 2011 | 4 |

# MICROMETRIC MEASURES OF DOUBLE STARS IN 2019 

Jean-François Courtot, Chaumont, France

## Introduction

The measurements presented here have been made during 2019 using two different telescopes: a home-made $205-\mathrm{mm}$ (8-inch) Newtonian and either a Retel filar micrometer at a power of x508 or a Lyot double-image micrometer at x464, and a $279-\mathrm{mm}$ (11-inch) Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope at a power of x 430 and a homemade filar micrometer. This setup is described in Ref. 1.

The measurement procedures have been outlined in previous circular DSSC $23^{2}$. Further indications on some observed peculiarities with double-image micrometers can also be found in DSSC $24-25^{3,4}$.

Measurements have been arranged as usual in Table 2. Epochs are in Julian years. In last column, 'T205' designs the 205 mm Newtonian telescope, 'C11' the 11-inch Schmidt-Cassegrain, ' $L$ ' is for the Lyot double-image micrometer whilst ' $F$ ' indicates that a filar micrometer has been used. Table 3 gives a short comment on each measured pair.

Besides a few known orbital pairs, some wider pairs have been measured. Attention has been paid in these cases to compare present observed relative positions with ancient positions. Once position angles of historic measurements have been corrected from precession, the long time-baselines considered here (over one century) offer valuable opportunities to check presently catalogued proper motions with observations whilst some of these pairs may appear as possible long period orbital pairs as well.

When available, GAIA-DR2 parallaxes, proper motions, luminosity and radial velocities data have been used also to investigate the true nature of the observed pairs. Similar parallaxes and proper motions suggest a gravitational link between components but those may have similar parallaxes and proper motions and simply travel in company along parabolic, hyperbolic or rectilinear parallel paths. Plotting historic and current measurements on a graph helps here but an analytical approach has been explored as well.

In 1955, the late Belgian astronomer Jean Dommanget (1924-2014) established a first criterion for the non-periodicity of the relative motion of double star components for which the apparent relative velocity is appreciable and for which the parallax is known ${ }^{5}$. It starts with the expression for the energy integral in the two-body problem ${ }^{5,6}$ and makes use of the mass-luminosity relationship to eventually establish an upper limit for the apparent separation between components behind which the relative motion is certainly non-periodic.

Dommanget non-periodicity first criterion has been used under the following form:
$\log \rho_{1}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1.90-2 \log \mathrm{~V}_{a}\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)+3 \log \pi\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)+\log \mathrm{M}_{A B}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$
where $\rho_{1}$ is the maximum apparent separation in arc-second (") behind which the relative motion is certainly non-periodic, and $V_{a}$ is the apparent relative speed (in arc-second per sidereal year) of one component as compared to the other. It can be determined graphically by plotting precession-corrected historic positions and up-to-date measurements as suggested in Ref. 5 and then divide the observed displacement in arc-seconds by the time elapsed between historic and current measurements. Another straightforward method has been used here to determine the apparent displacement of the secondary star. It uses the classical al-Kashi theorem for any triangle:

$$
a^{2}=b^{2}+c^{2}-2 b c \times \cos A
$$

$\pi$ is the mean parallax in arc-second (i.e. here GAIA-DR2 parallax in mas/1000).
$\mathrm{M}_{A B}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ is the mass of the pair in solar masses. Individual masses $\mathrm{M}_{A}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ and $\mathrm{M}_{B}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ have been determined from GAIA-DR2 luminosity data and the mass-luminosity relation ${ }^{7}$ with the Sun as unity. For instance, for A: $\log \mathrm{M}_{A}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)=\log \mathrm{L}_{A}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)-\log 4(\log 4$, since L nearly


Figure 1: Figure 1: STT179, see Table 3 / ADS 6321
varies with $\mathrm{M}^{4}$ for stars within 1 and 30 solar masses ${ }^{7}$, the usual cases in this series). Example: WDS13073+0035 - STF1719AB - ADS8786

| Historic measurement: | 1825 | $\theta=5^{\circ} .0$ | $\rho=8^{\prime \prime} .1$ (WDS) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current measurements: |  | $\left(4^{\circ} .7\right.$ after precession correction) |  |
|  | 2015.5 | $358^{\circ} .6$ | $6^{\prime \prime} .98$ (GAIA-DR2) |
|  | 2019.4 | $358^{\circ} .7$ | $6^{\prime \prime} .94$ (CTT) |

Table 1: GAIA-DR2 data for STF1719AB

|  | $\mathrm{RA}(\mathrm{J} 2000)$ | $\mathrm{Dec}(\mathrm{J} 2000)$ | $\mathrm{Plx}(\mathrm{mas}) \mathrm{pmRA}$ |  | pmDE | Gmag | RPmag | RV | $\mathrm{L}_{\odot}$ | Epoch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $\epsilon_{\pi}$ | $\epsilon_{\mu_{\alpha}}$ | $\epsilon_{\mu_{\delta}}$ | $\epsilon_{G}$ | $\epsilon_{R_{p}}$ |  |  |
| A | 1307 | +0035 | 13.1279 | -95.663 | -102.055 | 7.4187 | 7.0549 | -87.75 | 4.569 | 2015.5 |
|  | 19.7069738382 | 05.832900627 | 0.4558 | 0.590 | 0.445 | 0.0003 | 0.002 | 1.05 |  |  |
| B | 1307 | +0035 | 15.2285 | -96.674 | -105.080 | 7.9454 | 7.5096 | -89.84 | 2.114 | 2015.5 |
|  | 19.6939329611 | 12.812527233 | 0.0567 | 0.110 | 0.053 | 0.0003 | 0.024 | 0.19 |  |  |

From the above equations and data in Table 1: $V_{a}=0^{\prime \prime} .0072 / \mathrm{yr}, \pi_{\text {moу }}=0^{\prime \prime} .0142, \mathrm{M}_{A}=1.46 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, $\mathrm{M}_{B}=1.21 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}, M_{A B}=2.67 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
$\log \rho_{1}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1.90-2 \log 0.0072+3 \log 0.0142+\log 2.67=1.07$
$\rho_{1}=10^{1.07}=12^{\prime \prime}$
The Dommanget first criterion happens here to be $\rho=12^{\prime \prime}$, the upper limit in this case for a periodic relative motion. The current observed separation being less ( $7^{\prime \prime}$ ), this does not mean that the pair is necessarily a true orbital pair but indicates that it is possible. The future will tell.

As an alternative, using the same mathematical method, Jean Dommanget established in $1960^{8}$ a second criterion $\rho_{2}$. This time the relative radial velocity $\mathrm{V}_{r}$ (in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ ) is used instead of the apparent relative velocity $\mathrm{V}_{a}$ (in arc-second/year). The relevant equation is in this case:
$\log \rho_{2}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=3.249-2 \log \mathrm{~V}_{r}(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s})+\log \pi\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)+\log \mathrm{M}_{A B}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$
GAIA-DR2 Catalogue mentions radial velocities for both components of STF1719AB. "RV" column in Table 1 indicates $-87.75 \mathrm{~km}, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ for A and $-89.84 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ for B. The relative radial velocity is:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{r}=89.84-87.75=2.09 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}
$$

$\log \rho_{2}=3.249-2 \log 2.09+\log 0.0142+\log 2.67=1.19$
$\rho_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ compatible with first criterion.
Most of the observed apparent displacements in this series are very small however, often the same order than measurements errors and thus impairing the reliability of the first criterion. As a test for the observed pairs and their catalogued parameters, each time complete suitable GAIADR2 data were available for both components ( $25 \%$ of the cases here), similar investigations have nevertheless been attempted and reported in Table 3. GAIA-DR2 positions and proper motions data happened to be available for nearly $50 \%$ of the observed pairs. The GAIA astrometric satellite is still working however, observing and re-observing 70 million stars in a day and hopefully more data will be released in $2020^{9}$.

For known observed orbital pairs, residuals O-C with recently computed orbits are to be found in Table 4. Of possible interest for the observer and orbit calculator: SHJ345AB in acceleration quite near the periastron with a large O-C residual. For this interesting pair, GAIA-DR2 gives data for one component only (see also Ref 10).

## Table 2-Measures

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | $\mathrm{V}_{a}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Sep $\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | Epoch <br> (Julian) | N | Obs. | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF2 |  | 00093 | $+7943$ | 6.7 | 6.9 | 14.6 | 0.94 | 2019.923 | 4 | CTT | T205 / L |
| STF644 | AB | 05103 | $+3718$ | 7.0 | 6.8 | 221.9 | 1.64 | 2019.127 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| VBS10 | AC | 05103 | $+3718$ | 7.0 | 10.5 | 191.7 | 73.37 | 2019.130 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STT545 | AB | 05597 | $+3713$ | 2.6 | 7.2 | 304.3 | 4.21 | 2019.137 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STT545 | AC | 05597 | $+3713$ | 2.6 | 11.1 | 300.3 | 56.37 | 2019.141 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STT545 | AD | 05597 | $+3713$ | 2.6 | 10.1 | 349.3 | 139.2 | 2019.147 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF872 | AB | 06156 | $+3609$ | 6.9 | 7.4 | 216.2 | 11.32 | 2019.152 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STTA75 |  | 06206 | +1803 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 128.8 | 46.92 | 2019.184 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STT179 |  | 07444 | $+2424$ | 3.7 | 10.0 | 242.7 | 7.18 | 2019.217 | 4 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1177 |  | 08056 | $+2732$ | 6.7 | 7.4 | 350.3 | 3.45 | 2019.226 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1210 | AB | 08158 | $+0248$ | 7.3 | 9.5 | 113.3 | 15.65 | 2019.237 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1223 |  | 08268 | $+2656$ | 6.2 | 6.2 | 218.2 | 5.05 | 2019.245 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1283 |  | 08499 | $+1450$ | 7.7 | 8.5 | 123.4 | 16.47 | 2019.271 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1311 | AB | 09074 | $+2259$ | 6.9 | 7.1 | 199.2 | 7.51 | 2019.293 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1450 |  | 10350 | $+0839$ | 5.8 | 7.9 | 157.1 | 2.04 | 2019.325 | 4 | CTT | T205 / F |


| STF1559 |  | 11388 | $+6421$ | 6.8 | 8.0 | 325.8 | 2.04 | 2019.377 | 4 | CTT | C11 / F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF1642 |  | 12257 | +4444 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 179.6 | 2.46 | 2019.365 | 4 | CTT | C11/F |
| STT257 |  | 12567 | +4537 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 353.3 | 12.94 | 2019.389 | 3 | CTT | C11/F |
| STF1719 | AB | 13073 | +0035 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 358.7 | 6.94 | 2019.408 | 3 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1723 |  | 13082 | +3844 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 6.11 | 2019.421 | 4 | CTT | C11 / F |
| STF1838 |  | 14241 | +1115 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 335.0 | 9.34 | 2019.453 | 3 | CTT | T205 /F |
| STF1910 |  | 15075 | +0914 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 211.7 | 3.73 | 2019.477 | 4 | CTT | T205 / F |
| STF1950 |  | 15300 | $+2530$ | 8.1 | 9.2 | 90.8 | 3.42 | 2019.488 | 4 | CTT | T205+C11/F |
| HO399 |  | 15554 | +2932 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 116.4 | 3.61 | 2019.504 | 3 | CTT | C11 / F |
| STF2007 | AB | 16060 | +1319 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 322.4 | 37.74 | 2019.532 | 4 | CTT | C11/F |
| STF2140 | AB | 17146 | +1423 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 102.9 | 4.95 | 2019.586 | 4 | CTT | T205 + C11 / F |
| STF2245 | BA | 17564 | $+1820$ | 7.4 | 7.6 | 111.7 | 2.66 | 2019.601 | 3 | CTT | T205+C11/F |
| STF2273 | AB | 17592 | +6409 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 282.1 | 21.44 | 2019.630 | 4 | CTT | T205+C11/F |
| STF2351 |  | 18362 | $+4117$ | 7.6 | 7.6 | 161.3 | 5.02 | 2019.644 | 4 | CTT | T205+C11/F |
| STF2448 |  | 19037 | $+3545$ | 8.8 | 8.8 | 190.5 | 2.46 | 2019.657 | 3 | CTT | T205+C11/F |
| STF2523 | AB | 19268 | $+2110$ | 8.0 | 8.1 | 148.3 | 6.31 | 2019.692 | 4 | CTT | T205 + C11/F |
| STF2705 | AB | 20377 | +3322 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 263.5 | 3.11 | 2019.762 | 4 | CTT | T205 /F |
| SHJ345 | AB | 22266 | -1645 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 90.0 | 1.23 | 2019.880 | 6 | CTT | T205 / F +L |

## Table 3 - Notes

| Pair | ADS | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF2 | 102 | Long period orbital pair. Retrograde relative motion: $327^{\circ}$ in 189 years. No GAIA-DR2 radial velocity nor luminosity data. Relative positions from GAIA-DR2 equatorial positions for 2015.5: $23^{\circ} .9 / 0^{\prime \prime} .75$, not consistent with other measurements (CHARA 2012.038: $16^{\circ} .9 / 0^{\prime \prime} .86$ or CTT 2015.841: $17^{\circ} .2 / 0^{\prime \prime} .86$ ). |
| STF644AB | 3734 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1828). 'A' visually brigther than B at the time of observation (WDS gives V 6.96 for A and 6.78 for B). Common WDS proper motions but different GAIA DR2 proper motions. Starting from W. Struve's measurement in 1828.60 ( $219^{\circ} .2 / 1^{\prime \prime} .61$ ) the effect of GAIA proper motions gives for 2019.13: $232^{\circ} .5 / 1^{\prime \prime} .77$ (observed: $\left.221^{\circ} \cdot 9 / 1^{\prime \prime} .64\right)$ |
| VBS10AC | ${ }^{-}$ | Slow retrograde relative motion ( $4^{\circ}$ in 116 yrs ), getting slightly wider ( $+0^{\prime \prime} .8$ in 116 yrs ). Two different proper motions are mentioned in WDS for A component: one for STF644A and the other for VBS10A which are the same star. Starting from 1903 measurement ( $195^{\circ} / 72^{\prime \prime} .6$ ), the effect of proper motion mentioned for STF644AB gives for 2019: $192^{\circ} .2 / 74^{\prime \prime} .4$ (observed: $19^{\circ} .1 / 73^{\prime \prime} .4$ ). The proper motion mentioned for VBS10AC gives: $191^{\circ} .8 / 73^{\prime \prime} .1$ better matching the observation. No GAIA-DR2 data for C. |
| STT545AB | 4566 | Likely an optical pair. Retrograde relative motion: $62^{\circ}$ in 148 years. Getting wider: $+2^{\prime \prime} .1$. No GAIA-DR2 data for this pair. No proper motion indication for B in WDS. Assuming the WDS proper motion mentioned for A is correct (not exactly the same is mentioned for $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{AC}$ and AD pairs in WDS), from historic measurements, B proper motion can be estimated as: $\alpha:+0^{\prime \prime} .019 / \mathrm{yr}$ and $\delta:-0^{\prime \prime} .076 / \mathrm{yr}$. |
| STT545AC | 4566 | Optical pair. Direct relative motion ( $8^{\circ}$ in 167 yrs); getting wider: $+13^{\prime \prime}$. Starting from O. Struve's measurement in $1852\left(290^{\circ} .9 / 43^{\prime \prime} .19\right)$, the effect of proper motions in WDS gives for 2019: $274.1^{\circ} / 47^{\prime \prime} .5$ (observed: $300^{\circ} .3 / 56^{\prime \prime} .4$ ). Assuming WDS proper motion is correct for $\mathrm{A}\left(0^{\prime \prime} .044 / 0^{\prime \prime} .078 / \mathrm{yr}\right)$, the proper motion for C can be estimated to: $\alpha:-0^{\prime \prime} .008 / \mathrm{yr}$ and $\delta:-0^{\prime \prime} .006 / \mathrm{yr}$. No GAIA-DR2 data for A. |
| STT545AD | 4566 | Optical pair. Much different WDS proper motions for A and D. Retrograde relative motion: $3^{\circ}$ in 179 yrs. Getting quickly wider: $+16^{\prime \prime}$. Starting from O. Struve's 1840.16 measurement ( $350^{\circ} .7$ / $123^{\prime \prime} .3$, the effect of WDS proper motions gives for 2019/15: $349^{\circ} / 137^{\prime \prime} .8$ (observed: $349^{\circ} .3 / 139^{\prime \prime} .2$ ). |
| STF872AB | 4849 | Very slow retrograde relative motion ( $3^{\circ}$ in 191 yrs ). Getting slightly wider ( $+0^{\prime \prime} .3$ ). Starting from 1828.94 W . Struve's measurement ( $217^{\circ} .4 / 11^{\prime \prime} .03$ ), the effect of WDS proper |


|  |  | motions gives for 2019: $229^{\circ} .3 / 12^{\prime \prime} .61$. Observed: $216^{\circ} .2 / 11^{\prime \prime} .32$ (in 2015.5, GAIA measured: $216^{\circ} .1 / 11^{\prime \prime} .39$ ). Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes for both components ( 12.9 mas ). Dommanget's first criterion: $62^{\prime \prime}$. No radial velocity data for B. Possibly a very long period orbital pair. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STTA75 | - | BDS3305. Nearly fixed since Dewbowski's measurement in 1876.33. Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes for both components ( 2.4 mas) but different proper motions for each star according to WDS and GAIA-DR2. Starting from Dembowski's measurement ( $127^{\circ} .4$ $47^{\prime \prime} .51$ ), the effect of WDS proper motions gives for 2019: $126^{\circ} .6 / 47^{\prime \prime} .3$. GAIA proper motions give: $125^{\circ} .7 / 45^{\prime \prime} .1$ (Observed: $128^{\circ} .8 / 46^{\prime \prime} .9$ ). First and second Dommanget criteria respectively: $0^{\prime \prime} .25$ and $0^{\prime \prime} .06$. Clearly an optical pair. |
| STT179 | 6321 | Direct relative motion: $9^{\circ}$ in 166 years. Getting wider: $+0^{\prime \prime} .9$. No proper motion indication for B in WDS. GAIA-DR2 catalogue mentions similar proper motions and parallax (23.6 \& 21.9 mas ) for both stars. First Dommanget criterion: $46^{\prime \prime}$. No radial velocities GAIA data to determine the second criterion. Possibly a long period orbital pair. The measurement mentioned in WDS for $1827\left(240^{\circ} / 5^{\prime \prime} .0\right)$ probably erroneous (see Fig. 1). |
| STF1177 | 6569 | Slow retrograde relative motion: $5^{\circ}$ in 191 yrs. Separation without any noticeable change. No GAIA-DR2 luminosity or radial velocity data: Dommanget's criteria undetermined. Similar parallaxes and proper motions for both stars according to WDS and GAIA DR2: possibly a long period orbital pair. |
| STF1210AB | 6698 | No noticeable change since W. Struve (1829). Different parallaxes according to GAIA DR2: 3.05 \& 2.00 mas. No GAIA-DR2 luminosity data. Dommanget criterion undetermined. <br> Starting from W. Struve's measurement (1829.22: $113^{\circ} .5 / 15^{\prime \prime} .80$ ), the effect of WDS proper motions gives for 2019.2 : $116^{\circ} .0 / 16^{\prime \prime} .64$ (measured $113^{\circ} .3 / 15^{\prime \prime} .65$ ). GAIA DR2 proper motions give: $113^{\circ} .7 / 16^{\prime \prime} .01$. |
| STF1223 | 6815 | Very slow direct relative motion ( $5^{\circ}$ in 190 yrs). Getting slightly wider: $+0^{\prime \prime}$.5. Starting from Struve's 1829 measurement $\left(212^{\circ} .0 / 4^{\prime \prime} .56\right)$ the effect of WDS proper motions gives for 2019.2: $199^{\circ} .1 / 5^{\prime \prime} .86$ (measured: $218^{\circ} .2 / 5^{\prime \prime} .05$ ). GAIA DR2 proper motions give: $216^{\circ} .1 / 5^{\prime \prime} .02$. Similar GAIA parallaxes for both stars. A: 9.12 , B: 9.21 mas. Assuming from apparent magnitudes ( $6.2 / 6.2$ ) that both components have the same absolute luminosity, the mass-luminosity relationship gives 4.7 solar masses for A + B. First Dommanget criterion: $20^{\prime \prime}$. No GAIA radial velocity data. Possibly a physical pair. |
| STF1283 | 7031 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve. Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes for both stars ( $\sim 5$ mas). Similar WDS and GAIA proper motions. First Dommanget criterion: $40^{\prime \prime}$, uncertain however due to very small apparent displacement ( $0^{\prime \prime} .2$ in 190 years). No GAIA radial velocity data for $B$ to determine the second criterion. |
| STF1311AB | 7187 | Very slow retrograde relative motion: $2^{\circ}$ in 188 yrs. Separation without any noticeable change ( $+0^{\prime \prime} .3$ ). Nearly the same important common WDS and GAIA-DR2 proper motions. Nearly the same GAIA-DR2 parallaxes (16 mas). Dommanget's first and second criteria respectively: $196^{\prime \prime}$ and $2^{\circ}$, both unreliable however due to small apparent displacement ( $0^{\prime \prime} .4$ in 188 years) and much similar radial velocities for both components ( 29.99 and $30.13 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ ). |
| STF1450 | 7837 | Very slow retrograde relative motion: $4^{\circ}$ in 189 years. Possibly getting closer: $-0^{\prime \prime} .4$. No WDS / GAIA-DR2 proper motion or parallax data for the secondary. |
| STF1559 | 8249 | Very slow direct relative motion: $4^{\circ}$ in 183 years. Separation without any noticeable change. Different proper motions in WDS and GAIA-DR2 catalogues. Starting from W. Struve's measurement in 1836.55 ( $321^{\circ} .7 / 2^{\prime \prime} .09$ ), the effect of GAIA-DR2 proper motions gives for 2019.38: $308^{\circ} .1 / 1^{\prime \prime} .90$ (observed: $325^{\circ} .8 / 2^{\prime \prime} .04$ ). Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes for both components ( 4.0 and 4.4 mas ). No luminosity data for A. No radial velocity data. |
| STF1642 | 8546 | Very slow retrograde relative motion: $3^{\circ}$ in 187 years. Possibly getting slightly closer: $-0^{\prime \prime} .4$. Similar WDS and GAIA-DR2 proper motion and parallaxes for both components. First Dommanget criterion: $8^{\prime \prime} .7$. Measured separation: $2^{\prime \prime} .5$. Possibly an orbital pair. No GAIA-DR2 radial velocity data. |
| STT257 | 8714 | Nearly fixed since O. Struve's measurement (1846.73: $353^{\circ} .6 / 13^{\prime \prime} .08$ ). Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes and proper motions for both components according to WDS and GAIA-DR2. First Dommanget criterion: $88^{\prime \prime}$ but quite uncertain due to small observed apparent motion. No GAIA-DR2 radial velocity data for A. |
| STF1719AB | 8786 | Very slow retrograde relative motion: $6^{\circ}$ in 194 yrs. Getting closer: $-1^{\prime \prime}$. Similar WDS and GAIA-DR2 proper motions. Similar GAIA parallaxes (14 mas). First and second Dommanget criteria respectively: $12^{\prime \prime}$ and $15^{\prime \prime}$. Measured: $7^{\prime \prime}$. Possibly an orbital pair (see Example, above). |
|  |  |  |

and GAIA-DR2 proper motions. Similar GAIA parallaxes ( $\sim 14$ mas). First and second Dommanget criteria respectively: $25^{\prime \prime}$ and $70^{\prime \prime}$. Measured: $6^{\prime \prime}$. Likely an orbital pair.

| STF1838 | 9251 | Very slow direct relative motion: $1^{\circ}$ in 187 years. Getting slightly wider: $+0^{\prime \prime} .5$. Common mproper otions. Similar parallaxes for both components. First Dommanget criterion: 400 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. No GAIA-DR2 radial velocity data for B: second criterion undetermined. Possibly an orbital pair. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF1910 | 9507 | Very slow direct relative motion: $3^{\circ}$ in 187 years. Separation without any noticeable change. Common proper motions. Similar parallaxes for both components. First Dommanget criterion: $3000^{\prime \prime}$ not coherent with $110^{\prime \prime}$ calculated from radial velocities for the second criterion. The latter to be preferred due to very small apparent observed displacement ( $0^{\prime \prime} .2$ in 187 years) nearly the same order than measurement errors. Possibly an orbital pair. |
| STF1950 | 9675 | Very slow direct retrograde motion: $2^{\circ}$ in 187 years. Separation without any noticeable change. Common proper motions. Similar parallaxes for both components. No luminosity data for the secondary. Dommanget criteria not determined. |
| HO399 | 9844 | Nearly fixed since Hough (1891). Common proper motions. Similar parallaxes for both components. No GAIA-DR2 luminosity nor radial velocity data. Dommanget criteria not determined. |
| STF2007AB | 9922 | Retrograde apparent motion: $5^{\circ}$ in 189 years. Getting wider: $+5^{\prime \prime} .8$. Different proper motions and parallaxes for A and B. Starting from W. Struve's 1830.14 measurement $\left(328^{\circ} .2 / 31^{\prime \prime} .97\right)$, the effect of GAIA proper motions gives for 2019.5: $321^{\circ} .9 /$ $38^{\prime \prime} .46$ (observed: $322^{\circ} .4 / 37^{\prime \prime} .74$ ). First and second Dommanget criteria not coherent, respectively: $0^{\prime \prime} .04$ and $23^{\prime \prime} .4$. Optical pair. |
| STF2140AB | 10418 | $\alpha$ Her. Very long period orbital pair. Retrograde relative motion: $15^{\circ}$ in 190 years. Possibly getting wider. No GAIA-DR2 data for A. |
| STF2245BA | 10905 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1829). Similar parallaxes and proper motions for both components. No GAIA-DR2 luminosity data for the component labeled A in WDS (the faintest of the two in this case). Dommanget's criteria undetermined. |
| STF2273AB | 10985 | No noticeable rotation since W. Struve (1832). Getting wider: $+1^{\prime \prime}$. Similar GAIA-DR2 parallaxes and proper motions for both components ( $\sim 15 \mathrm{mas}$ ). First and second Dommanget criteria respectively: $32^{\prime \prime}$ and $65^{\prime \prime}$ (measured: $21^{\prime \prime}$ ). Possibly a very long period orbital pair. |
| STF2351 | 11500 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1830). Similar parallaxes and proper motions for both stars. No GAIA-DR2 luminosity nor radial velocity data. Dommanget criteria undetermined. |
| STF2448 | 12002 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1831). Similar parallaxes for both stars but somewhat different proper motions according to GAIA-DR2. No radial velocity nor luminosity data. <br> Dommanget criteria undetermined. |
| STF2523AB | 12451 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1830). No GAIA - DR2 data for this pair. |
| STF2705AB | 14078 | Nearly fixed since W. Struve (1831). Similar proper motions and parallaxes. First Dommanget criterion: $5^{\prime \prime} .1$. No GAIA-DR2 radial velocity data. Second Dommanget criterion undetermined. Possibly an orbital pair however. |
| SHJ345AB | 15934 | Long period orbital pair. Direct relative motion: $147^{\circ}$ in 196 yrs. Near periastron. Apparently in acceleration. GAIA-DR2 data for A component only. |

## Table 4 - Residuals from known orbits

| Pair | ADS | Residual(O-C) |  | Orbit | Period (yrs) | Date | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{PA}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| STF2 | 102 | $+0.1$ | 0.00 | Heintz | 540 | 1997 | 3 |
| STF2140AB | 10418 | +0.2 | +0.31 | Baize | 3600 | 1978 | 4 |
| SHJ345AB | 15934 | +8.7 | -0.10 | Hale | 3500 | 1994 | 4 |
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# MICROMETER MEASURES OF DOUBLE STARS 2008.29 TO 2019.42 
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## Results and Method

The total number of measurements is 217 on 69 double stars not counting the negative results on 3 stars. Most of the pairs are in orbital motion and about $45 \%$ were closer than $1^{\prime \prime} .0$ at the time of the measurement. All measurements were obtained by using the $32.5-\mathrm{cm}$ f19 Cassegrain positioned in Hemhofen (latitude N49 $42^{\prime}$ ) close to Erlangen/Germany. The telescope was designed and constructed in 1996 by Peter Grosse, formerly Zeiss Jena.

The limit for clearly resolvable stars is $0^{\prime \prime} .40$.
The following micrometer was used: MECA PRECIS Double Image Micrometer. Magnifications: 390 x (only in some few cases for faint pairs), 490x, $620 \mathrm{x}, 690 \mathrm{x}, 920 \mathrm{x}$. Mostly, the 620 x magnification was applied. On each night, the distance and the PA each are set 2 to 10 times (mostly 4 times). When the distance is less than about $0^{\prime \prime} .4$, the distance is always measured and estimated, and the final value is the mean value. Mostly, the difference between the 2 methods does not exceed $0^{\prime \prime} .05$. Residuals were calculated for 65 pairs with known orbits.


Figure 2: $325-\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{f} 19$ Cassegrain running on Alt 7AD equatorial mount with MECA PRECIS Double Image Micrometer


Figure 3: The MECA PRECIS Double Image Micrometer 'Bernard Lyot', here with a 10 mm Zeiss ortho eyepiece. At right: screw for PA setting: rotates the box around the angular scale. At left: screw for distance setting: rotates the calcite plate located under the eyepiece. A setting of $\pm 10$ corresponds to a distance of $0^{\prime \prime} .83$.
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The measurements:

| 1. column: | Name of star |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. column: | Component |
| 3. column: | RA 2000 |
| 4. column: | DEC 2000 |
| 5. column: | estimated magnitude difference |
| 6. column: | PA $\left(\left(^{\circ}\right)\right.$ |
| 7. column: | Separation $\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| 8. column: | Epoch |
| 9. column: | number of nights |
| 10. column: | observer |
| 11. column: | note indicated |

Observer: Andreas Alzner Method: 325mm Cassegrain, Double image micrometer

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ | PA $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | Sep $\left(^{\prime \prime}\right.$ ) | Epoch | N | Obs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT159 |  | 0657.3 | $+5825$ | 1.4 | 231.3 | 0.63 | 2009.21 | 1 | ALZ |
| STT170 |  | 0717.6 | +0918 | - | 353.1 | 0.29: | 2009.21 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1110 | AB | 0734.6 | +3153 | 0.7 | 58.2 | 4.47 | 2009.21 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF1196 | AB | 0812.2 | +1739 | 0.4 | 41.3 | 1.02 | 2009.21 | 2 | ALZ * |
|  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 38.6 | 1.09 | 2010.26 | 1 | ALZ |
|  | AC |  |  | 0.8 | 65.5 | 6.49 | 2010.26 | 1 | ALZ |
| VDK3 |  | 0850.7 | +0752 | 1.2 | 164.4 | 1.44 | 2009.57 | 3 | ALZ |
| STF1280 |  | 0855.4 | +7048 | 0.2 | 349.2 | 2.24 | 2008.29 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 350.3 | 2.36 | 2009.21 | 2 | ALZ |
| KUI37 |  | 0900.6 | $+4147$ | 2.5 | 304.8 | 0.58 | 2008.30 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF1306 |  | 0910.4 | +6708 | 4.0 | 350.9 | 4.04 | 2010.26 | 1,2 | ALZ |
| STF1338 |  | 0921.0 | +3811 | 0.4 | 299.4 | 1.11 | 2009.21 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT200 |  | 0924.9 | +5134 | 1.7 | 333.8 | 1.22 | 2009.28 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1356 |  | 0928.5 | +0903 | 0.4 | 99.7 | 0.75 | 2009.21 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1372 |  | 0937.1 | +1614 | 0.4 | 253.3 | 0.37 | 2010.26 | 1 | ALZ |
| STT215 |  | 1016.3 | +1744 | 0.3 | 177.2 | 1.45 | 2009.22 | 3 | ALZ |
| STF1424 |  | 1020.0 | +1951 | 1.2 | 126.2 | 4.67 | 2010.26 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1429 |  | 1025.0 | $+2437$ | 0.3 | 160.6 | 0.74 | 2009.28 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT229 |  | 1048.0 | +4107 | 0.4 | 264.7 | 0.69 | 2008.80 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 263.0 | 0.74 | 2011.36 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF1523 | AB | 1118.2 | $+3132$ | 0.5 | 224.1 | 1.61 | 2008.29 | 2 | ALZ * |
|  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 214.5 | 1.58 | 2009.24 | 3 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 210.7 | 1.59 | 2010.26 | 3 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 201.2 | 1.56 | 2011.36 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 155.3 | 2.09 | 2019.40 | 3 | ALZ |
| STF1527 |  | 1119.0 | +1416 | - | 166.5 | 0.26: | 2008.29 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 176.2 | 0.30: | 2009.26 | 3 | ALZ |


|  |  |  | 0.7 | 187.8 | $0.31:$ | 2010.26 | 3 | ALZ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 195.6 | $0.29:$ | 2011.36 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1536 | 1123.9 | +1032 | 2.4 | 93.1 | 2.16 | 2019.41 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STT235 | 1132.2 | +6105 | 1.7 | 19.7 | 0.70 | 2008.38 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF1555 | 1136.3 | +2747 | 0.3 | 148.7 | 0.79 | 2010.25 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF1606 | 1210.8 | +3953 | 0.5 | 142.5 | 0.65 | 2019.41 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1639 | 1224.4 | +2535 | 1.1 | 326.4 | 1.76 | 2010.26 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT251 | 1229.1 | +3123 | 1.2 | 57.1 | 0.66 | 2008.30 | 1 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF1670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 38.6 | 1.01 | 2008.29 | 2 |


| STF1937 | 1523.3 | +3017 | 0.3 | 148.0 | 0.54 | 2008.40 | 2 | ALZ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 159.6 | 0.59 | 2009.42 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.3 | 199.4 | 0.69 | 2014.42 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 215.9 | 0.61 | 2016.42 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 248.0 | 0.46 | 2018.45 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  | 264.2 | 0.38 | 2019.40 | 2 | ALZ |  |
| STF1938 |  | +3723 | 0.4 | 6.9 | 2.25 | 2008.40 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2.27 | 2018.45 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.26 | 2019.40 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 296.1 | 0.72 | 2008.42 |
| 1 | ALZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF1944 | 1527.7 | +0605 | 0.5 | 292.8 | 0.68 | 2019.42 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185.6 | 1.24 |
| 2016.42 | 2 | ALZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STT298 | 1536.0 | +3948 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.03 | 2008.45 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF1969 | 1541.3 | +5959 | 0.5 | 27.8 | 1.03 |  |  |  |
| STF1967 | 1542.8 | +2618 | 1.6 | 106.6 | 0.65 | 2008.45 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2028 | 1612.8 | +3921 | - | 1398 | 0.35 | 2008.42 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF2059 | 1630.9 | +3804 | 0.3 | 188.8 | 0.36 | 2008.45 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2084 | 1641.3 | +3136 | - | 19.6 | $0.95:$ | 2008.48 | 1 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.4 | 185.9 | 1.05 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| D15 | 1643.9 | +4329 | - | 30.4 | 0.34 | 2008.48 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF2118 | 1656.4 | +6502 | 0.0 | 66.6 | 1.06 | 2008.48 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF2130 | 1705.3 | +5428 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 2.35 | 2008.48 | 1 | ALZ |
| STF2205 | 1745.8 | +1743 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.06 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF2315 | 1825.0 | +2723 | 0.8 | 115.9 | 0.61 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2382 | 1844.3 | +3940 | 0.7 | 348.3 | 2.41 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2383 | 184.4 | +3937 | 0.2 | 78.9 | 2.31 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| BU648 | 1857.0 | +3254 | 2.3 | 258.8 | 0.99 | 2009.70 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT371 | 1915.9 | +2727 | 0.4 | 159.5 | 0.89 | 2009.70 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF2525 | 1926.6 | +2719 | 0.3 | 290.4 | 2.11 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT383 | 1942.9 | +4043 | 1.0 | 16.4 | 0.92 | 2009.70 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2579 | 1945.0 | +4508 | 3.8 | 218.7 | 2.72 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2576 | 1945.6 | +3337 | 0.1 | 158.9 | 2.84 | 2009.70 | 2 | ALZ |
| STT387 | 1948.7 | +3519 | 0.8 | 122.7 | 0.56 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF2652 | 2008.9 | +6205 | - | 214.3 | 0.27 | 2009.59 | 2 | ALZ |
| BU151 | 2037.5 | +1436 | 0.5 | 22.3 | 0.40 | 2009.66 | 3 | ALZ |
| STF2737 | 2059.1 | +0418 | 0.6 | 284.8 | 0.58 | 2009.70 | 2 | ALZ |
| STF2909 | 2228.8 | -0001 | 0.1 | 170.2 | 2.10 | 2009.70 | 1 | ALZ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes to individual stars (*)

| System | ADS | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| STF1196 | 6650 | AB,AC. Residuals for Heintz' orbital solution (AB and AC, 1996) have been calculated <br> using the ephmeris given by Heintz (1996). |
| STF1523 | 8119 | AB: P=59.878 (Mason 1995, grade 1) AB: P $=59.84$ (Heintz 1996, grade 1) <br> Aa-A: P $=1.834$ (Heintz 1996, grade 9) Residuals were calculated first: |
| - combining AB (Mason 1995) and Aa-A (Heintz 1996) (according to the |  |  |
| elements given in the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars) and |  |  |
| secondly: - combining AB (Heintz 1996) and Aa-A (Heintz 1996) |  |  |

Zirm has been confirmed by R. Wasson (Journal of Double Star Observations, Vol. 15 No. 2 April 2019) and by M. Scardia (AN 340, 771-779, 2019), both with speckle measurements.

| STF1865 9343 | This bright pair with equal components allowed use of high magnifications up to 920x. <br> Not separated in 2018 and 2019, but still measurable with the Double Image Microm- <br> eter. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| STT387 12972 | Residuals for WSI2006 and for Josties/Mason 2018 are calculated. The orbit from <br> 2006 represents the early visual measurements in the first and in the fourth quadrant <br> better, e.g. the measurements by W. J. Hussey at Lick observatory 1898. |

Residuals for Micrometer measurements by Andreas Alzner 2008.29 to 2019.42

| Pair | ADS | Residual(O-C) |  | Orbit | Period (yrs) | Date | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{PA}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| STT159 | 5586 | $+1.1$ | -0.02 | Alzner | 262 | 2000 | 3 |
| STT170 | 5958 | $+11.1$ | $+0.05$ | Scardia | 300.9 | 2016 | 3 |
| STF1110 | 6175 | $+0.1$ | -0.14 | Docobo | 459.8 | 2014 | 3 |
| STF1196AB | 6650 | $+0.6$ | -0.06 | Heintz | 58.90 | 1995 | 1 |
|  |  | $+1.8$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| STF1196AB | 6650 | -0.4 | -0.04 | Josties\&Mason | 59.98 | 2018 | 1 |
|  |  | $+0.8$ | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 6650 | -0.3 | -0.06 | Heintz | 1115 | 1995 | 4 |
| VDK3 | 7044 | -1.9 | $+0.11$ | Washington Speckle I | 177.0 | 2006 | 4 |
| STF1280 | 7067 | -0.7 | $+0.06$ | Heintz | 609 | 1997 | 4 |
|  |  | -0.7 | $+0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| KUI37 | - | -5.4 | $+0.10$ | Muterspaugh | 21.797 | 2010 | 1 |
| STF1306 | 7203 | $+1.4$ | -0.14 | Scardia | 970 | 2015 | 4 |
| STF1338 | 7307 | -0.6 | 0.00 | Scardia(II) | 444.27 | 2002 | 3 |
| STF1338 | 7307 | -1.7 | $+0.03$ | Mason | 424.2 | 2018 | 3 |
| STF1356 | 7390 | $+2.0$ | $+0.02$ | Muterspaugh | 117.98 | 2010 | 2 |
| STF1372 | 7456 | $+4.8$ | $+0.07$ | Alzner | 371 | 2005 | 3 |
| STT215 | 7704 | -0.6 | -0.02 | Scardia | 702.4 | 2018 | 4 |
| STF1424 | 7724 | $+0.4$ | $+0.05$ | Mason | 510.3 | 2006 | 4 |
| STF1424 | 7724 | $+0.4$ | -0.02 | Pulkova | 554 | 2014 | 4 |
| STF1429 | 7758 | 0.0 | $+0.02$ | Zulevic | 1280.7 | 1981 | 4 |
| STT229 | 7929 | $+2.3$ | $+0.02$ | Alzner | 320 | 1998 | 3 |
|  |  | $+2.7$ | $+0.08$ |  |  |  |  |
| STF1523 AB | 8119 | -2.1 | -0.02 | Mason/Heintz | see notes |  |  |
|  |  | $-3.1$ | -0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.8 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -1.9 | $-0.03$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.1 | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.7 | 0.00 | Heintz/Heintz | see notes |  |  |
|  |  | -1.8 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.6$ | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.7 | -0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.5$ | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
| STF1527 | 8128 | $+4.9$ | -0.06 | Tokovinin | 415 | 2012 | 3 |


|  |  | $+2.5$ | $-0.03$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $+2.3$ | -0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2.7 | -0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| STF1536 | 8148 | +0.6 | -0.05 | Söderhjelm | 186 | 1999 | 2 |
| STT235 | 8197 | $+3.9$ | -0.07 | Söderhjelm | 72.7 | 1999 | 2 |
| STF1555 | 8231 | -0.4 | $+0.08$ | Docobo | 1730 | 2017 | 4 |
| STF1606 | 8446 | $+1.7$ | $+0.04$ | Mason | 1431 | 1999 | 4 |
| STF1639 | 8539 | $+2.8$ | -0.02 | Olevic | 575.44 | 2000 | 4 |
| STT251 | 8569 | -2.8 | 0.00 | Scardia | 540.56 | 2003 | 5 |
| STF1670 | 8630 | +0.9 | $+0.01$ | Scardia | 169.10 | 2007 | 2 |
|  |  | $+2.3$ | -0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.5$ | $+0.01$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.1 | -0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.6 | -0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.2$ | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.1$ | -0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| STF1687 | 8695 | -3.0 | $+0.08$ | Drummond | 539.4 | 2014 | 4 |
| STT260 | 8791 | -33.4 | -0.05 | Zirm | 233.79 | 2008 | 3 |
| STF1728 | 8804 | -2.7 | -0.01 | Muterspaugh | 25.853 | 2015 | 1 |
| STT261 | 8814 | $+0.1$ | -0.04 | Kiselev | 860.8 | 2012 | 4 |
| STF1781 | 9019 | -0.1 | $+0.01$ | Alzner | 261.6 | 2007 | 3 |
| STF1785 | 9031 | $+0.2$ | -0.02 | Heintz | 155.75 | 1988 | 2 |
|  |  | -0.4 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| A1614 | 9071 | -1.4 | $+0.04$ | Robo-AO | 205 | 2015 | 3 |
| STF1820 | 9167 | -0.4 | $+0.04$ | Kiyaeva | 1013 | 1998 | 4 |
| STF1816 | 9174 | -0.7 | $+0.03$ | Zirm | 1340 | 2014 | 4 |
| STF1865 | 9343 | -1.6 | -0.02 | Scardia | 125.24 | 2007 | 2 |
|  |  | -0.7 | $+0.01$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.5 | $+0.06$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+2.5$ | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+2.2$ | -0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | +0.3 | -0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| STF1888 | 9413 | $+0.4$ | 0.00 | Söderhjelm | 151.6 | 1999 | 2 |
|  |  | +1.8 | -0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| STT287 | 9418 | -0.3 | -0.05 | Heintz | 340 | 1997 | 4 |
|  |  | $+1.7$ | -0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| STT288 | 9425 | +1.9 | 0.00 | Heintz | 313 | 1998 | 4 |
|  |  | -0.7 | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0 | $+0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| STF1909 | 9494 | -0.4 | $+0.03$ | Zirm | 209.8 | 2011 | 2 |
|  |  | -1.4 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.6 | $+0.01$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.3$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $+0.7$ | $+0.04$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | +1.4 | $+0.06$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2.1 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| STF1932 | 9578 | $+0.8$ | -0.01 | Scardia | 203.15 | 2015 | 2 |
| STF1937 | 9617 | -2.7 | 0.00 | Muterspaugh | 41.63 | 2010 | 1 |
|  |  | -2.0 | $+0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2.6 | $+0.03$ |  |  |  |  |
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## Introduction

The north shore of the island of Kauai in the Hawaiian Island chain is usually cloudy. However, when the clouds do take a break, the seeing can be exceptional. This, coupled with the island's latitude at my location ( $22^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ ) provided an opportunity to measure more southerly stars than those to which I usually have access. These southerly stars are less frequently measured compared to those readily observable in the northern hemisphere.

## Equipment

A fork-mounted 8-inch SCT was combined with a motorized Crayford focuser, and a flip-mirror for switching between a finding and centering eyepiece or the remainder of the optical train. This consisted of a 3X Barlow and a ZWO ASI 120 monochrome CMOS camera. This optical train and camera produced a pixel scale of approximately $0^{\prime \prime} .0934$ per pixel. The ZWO ASI 120 camera has a peak spectral sensitivity of nearly $80 \%$ at 540 nm . A broadband interference filter (Astronomik Green Type 2c Filter: $95 \%$ transmission at $\lambda c=535 \mathrm{~nm}, \Delta \lambda \mathrm{HM}=90 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) was used when helpful to minimize atmospheric dispersion in stars well off the zenith.

## Image Acquisition and Reduction

The star images were reduced using speckle interferometry techniques. However, the small aperture of the telescope used combined with the above average seeing (large $\mathrm{R}_{o}$ ) and the longer exposure times needed to capture faint doubles meant that the technique could be more accurately called 'blur interferometry'. Also, speckle interferometry exposure times ideally are about 40 ms or less so as to 'freeze' the speckles (multiple binary star images) caused by the different isoplanatic cells present across the aperture of a larger telescope. Exposure times this short could be achieved with the present setup only for stars of magnitude approximately 7 or brighter. Exposures in some instances approached 300 ms . This yielded usable star images because of the robustness of the interferometry/autocorrelation technique and the extraordinary 'seeing' at the observing site. A maximum of 500 star images were collected in an acquisition sequence depending upon the exposure time required to adequately image the target.

Acquisition was carried out with Sharpcap software ${ }^{1}$ controlling the ASI 120MM camera. Images were stored as FITS files. Calibration stars were selected for long term stability of position angle and separation. These calibration stars and the calibration PA and separation values used on a date are identified in the Table 1 below.

To calibrate the camera for a night's run, images from the calibration binary's acquisition sequences were cropped using REDUC ${ }^{2}$. Each acquisition sequence was then reduced using the AutoCorrelation procedure in REDUC. This yields a correlogram, which looks like a binary pair with an extra star symmetrically flanking the primary. Determination of the true location of the companion star is determined by examining the raw FITS images. This will identify which of the two 'stars' symmetrically flanking the center primary 'star' in the autocorrelogram should be measured. ("Note that the autocorrelogram displays radial symmetry. It is not an actual image of the double star, but rather a graphical portrayal of the two-dimensional autocorrelation of


Figure 1: The 8-inch (200-mm) SCT telescope with 3x Barlow lens attached and ZWO ASI CMOS camera
the averaged power spectrum. The symmetric nature of the display results from the fact that autocorellation of any real function is inherently symmetrical" ${ }^{3}$ )

After the autocorrelograms had been created from each calibration pair acquisition sequence, preliminary position angles and separations were determined, and these values would be averaged for all of the autocorrelograms. The known PA and separation of the calibration pair would then be entered to determine the true $\Delta$ (camera angle) and $E$ (pixel size in arcseconds) for that night's run.

The $\Delta$ and $E$ would be transferred into the SpeckleToolBox ${ }^{3}$ program that was used for interferometric reduction of the remaining stars. SPECKLETOOLBOX has efficient batch procedures for reducing a large number of acquisition sequences, and has filtering capabilities that are useful for extracting measurable autocorrelograms from close and/or large $\Delta m$ binaries. There was very close agreement between the autocorrelogram measurements in Reduc and SpeckleToolBox.

SpeckleToolBox was also used for a drift calibration technique to determine the camera angle. This independent calibration yielded values for $\Delta$ that often matched to the second decimal place with those generated in Reduc using calibration stars.

Table 1. Calibration double stars. N is the number of acquisition sequences. PA (position angle) is in degrees $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, and Sep (separation) is in arc seconds $\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Epoch identifies the night when that star was used to calibrate the measurements in Table 2. Any differences between PA and Sep values used for calibration and values preferred by the reader may be properly treated as constant error and applied to values in Table 2.

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | Va | Vb | PA | Sep | Epoch | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF 1129 | AC | 07413 | +1807 | 8.91 | 9.68 | 64.47 | 21.65 | 2020.295 | 4 |
| STF 1308 |  | 09050 | -0359 | 8.09 | 9.19 | 84.77 | 10.92 | 2020.254 | 5 |
| STF 1649 |  | 12316 | -1104 | 7.97 | 8.43 | 193.99 | 15.71 | 2020.377 | 6 |

Table 2. Measures of double stars. N is the number of acquisition sequnces. PA (position angle) is in degrees ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), and Sep (separation) is in arc seconds( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ).

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | Va | Vb | PA | Sep | Epoch | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STF 1077 | AB | 07210 | -0040 | 9.89 | 9.78 | 318.8 | 5.45 | 2020.3 | 1 |
| STF 1103 |  | 07306 | +0515 | 7.12 | 8.64 | 245.31 | 4.19 | 2020.3 | 2 |
| B 161 |  | 08386 | -2502 | 9.23 | 10.78 | 316.75 | 8.29 | 2020.25 | 4 |
| STF 1255 | AB | 08397 | +0546 | 7.33 | 8.56 | 30.36 | 26.06 | 2020.3 | 7 |
| STF 1260 |  | 08407 | -1210 | 7.86 | 8.07 | 301.19 | 5.3 | 2020.25 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2020.25 | 3 |
| STF 1270 |  | 08453 | -0236 | 6.89 | 7.54 | 265.01 | 4.67 | 2020.25 | 3 |
| STF 1273 | AB,C | 08468 | +0625 | 3.49 | 6.66 | 310.74 | 2.93 | 2020.25 | 4 |
| STF 1292 | AB | 08538 | -0035 | 9.32 | 9.53 | 187.84 | 6.06 | 2020.3 | 9 |
| STF 1295 |  | 08555 | -0758 | 6.73 | 6.93 | 3.28 | 4.04 | 2020.25 | 5 |
| HJ 4166 | A,BC | 09033 | -3336 | 7.1 | 7.93 | 153.83 | 13.76 |  | 2020.3 |
| DUN 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| STF 1424 | AB | 10200 | +1950 | 2.37 | 3.64 | 126.62 | 4.74 | 2020.3 | 4 |
| BU 412 |  | 12084 | -1835 | 8.43 | 8.96 | 152.4 | 1.98 | 2020.38 | 5 |
| S 634 |  | 12114 | -1647 | 7.17 | 8.79 | 302.27 | 4,60 | 2020.38 | 1 |
| STF 1619 |  | 12151 | -0715 | 8.06 | 8.3 | 265.51 | 6.96 | 2020.38 | 4 |
| BU 920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2020.38 | 9 |
| STF 1627 |  | 12158 | -2321 | 6.86 | 8.22 | 307.12 | 1.91 | 202 | 2020.38 |
| SHJ 145 | AB | 12182 | -0357 | 6.55 | 6.9 | 195.65 | 20.12 | 6 |  |
| STF 1669 |  | 12413 | -1631 | 2.95 | 8.47 | 215.66 | 24.21 | 2020.38 | 6 |
| STF 1670 |  | 12417 | -1301 | 5.88 | 5.89 | 314.21 | 5.31 | 2020.38 | 8 |
| STF 1677 |  |  | 12453 | -0353 | 3.48 | 3.53 | 356.8 | 3.01 | 2020.38 |
| ST3 | 7.3 | 8.12 | 348.69 | 16.08 | 2020.38 | 5 |  |  |  |
| S 643 |  | 12540 | -1802 | 7.07 | 8.17 | 295.17 | 23.46 | 2020.38 | 6 |

Table 3. Residuals from known orbits.

| Pair | ADS | Residual (O-C) |  | Orbit | Period <br> $(\mathrm{yrs})$ | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Grade
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#### Abstract

High proper motion pairs within 20 parsecs of the Sun are examined for differential proper motion and pairs exhibiting potential orbital motion but having no known published orbit are presented as candidate observational targets.


## Introduction

Stars with very high proper motion are usually near to very near the Solar System and the recent release of GAIA Data Release $2(D R 2)^{1}$ has provided high precision proper motion values for many of these stars as well as parallax estimations. From this sample of objects common motion pairs can be found that lie relatively to very adjacent to each other upon the sky and when these high motion objects are of similar high parallax values this translates into true physical proximity for the pair.

In sufficiently nearby pairs where orbital motion is also noted said orbital motion also causes differential proper motion. This is well exemplified by Porrima ( $\gamma$ Virginis $=\Sigma 1670$ AB) which has a well-known orbit and according to GAIA DR2 lies around 12 parsecs away with has high proper motions differing in Right Ascension and Declination by 67 and $148 \mathrm{mas}^{\mathrm{yr}}{ }^{-1}$ respectively. Using this principle GAIA DR2 can be used to search for candidate objects of a similar differential motion nature that have heretofore gone unnoticed.

These pairs, being likely close together in absolute terms, are most likely to have orbital periods on the order of decades to a few centuries and thus able to fall within the remit discernible motion via visual measurement, whether using the eye or a digital receiver. Most well known stellar masses are determined via binary star orbits. However the majority are from eclipsing binaries where the eclipse profile, multi-passband derived surface temperature and multiple radial velocity measurements are combined to determine the absolute orbit, so to speak, and enable the relative physical dimensions of the system and subsequently the individual masses for the objects to be determined from their mass ratio against the orbit derived total mass.

The problem here is that many eclipsing binaries have not evolved naturally to their current state due to mass transfer at some point or other, which leads to the current primary potentially having been the secondary before the then primary evolved far enough to exceed its Roche Lobe and donate material to the then secondary, thus reversing which star is the brighter and more massive. In other words, eclipsing binary stars do not necessarily properly reflect the standard stellar evolutionary path of a non-interactive star. Stellar masses from visual orbits are more able to reflect the masses of stars that have undergone stellar evolution without interference due to their larger physical separation relative to the stellar radii.

This work is presented here as a point of information for people who measure double star particulars, whether via traditional visual means or more modern digital imaging, and to some extent as a call for observations. A small number of these objects likely will return sufficient measures to enable a reasonable orbit to be estimated within a couple of decades, or possibly sooner for objects already possessing a history of observations. Even if that is not the case, the orbits of some stars, for example the aforementioned Porrima, would not be known as well as they are now without the measurements of observers long past. Double stars can be one of the few endeavours of observational astronomical science where simple measurement by both amateur and professional can be instrumental in directly observing true changes over time within the stellar heavens, albeit not always within one observer's lifetime.

On the other hand, there is a caveat. Many of this study's candidate objects are either faint, close to very close together, of large differential magnitude or combinations of all three. This is to be expected, otherwise a lot of the following objects would already have sufficient data for an orbit to have already been published. Nevertheless many of this study's objects are known pairs (albeit not previously suspected of orbital motion) that were first detected visually, or at least photographically, and even most of the digitally discovered objects were discovered in optical passbands.

## Methodology

Using the IRSA IPAC GATOR catalogue server ${ }^{2}$ Gaia DR2 was examined and a preliminary shortlist of objects selected having combined proper motion of over 100 milliarcseconds per year (mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) and parallaxes higher than 25 milliarcseconds (mas) which latter equates to 40 parsecs distance when distance is simplistically determined via parallax reciprocalisation. After giving each star a unique running number to act as a reference index an exact copy of the resultant list was generated and the two lists tested against themselves in order to find pairs of stars within 5 arcsec of each other.

This of course also leads to each star being matched with itself as well as any true neighbours. Through the use of the unique index reference number these "self-pairs" are removed simply by deleting those where both stars from each pair have the same identifying number. Double entries (and in some rare cases higher multiples) also occur due to the basic fact that if A is associated with $B$ then $B$ is associated with $A$ and these are simply removed by hand for interesting objects as the analysis progresses.

The final pairs are reverse sorted on parallax (that is, looking at stars from the nearest outwards), then candidate pairs are examined for substantial differential proper motion in at least either right ascension or declination. The limiting 'distance' to which this is done is determined by the data, such that around 20 parsecs equivalent distance this difference begins to be less than around 10 mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. Although this is much higher than the theoretical limit of precision for GAIA DR2 it is sufficiently large enough to be well above any expected practical error range whilst also reflecting a level of motion likely to be noticeable over time by either a visual telescopic observer or a telescopic observer utilising either direct or drift digital imaging. Only objects with distance ratios of largest to smallest less than 1:1.01 are examined. This is a relatively low value given much anecdotal evidence of GAIA DR2 parallax issues for known physically associated binary stars is somewhat prudent. Porrima for example has a parallax ratio of 1:1.1 despite a well determined orbital semimajor axis of 3.68 arcsecs or around 45 Astronomical Units (AU) and being a wellknown physical pair with an orbit observed for longer than one full revolution. Consequently that the GAIA DR2 parallaxes suggest large radial separation is nonsensical. This could be excused as due to the brightness of the naked eye magnitude stars giving measurement problems. However, third magnitude $\chi$ Eridani below has a close comes six magnitudes fainter than the primary but a parallax ratio for the pair of only 1:1.0002! Whatever the situation, using a ratio of 1:1.1 added only a few extra objects of small differential proper motion so a ratio of 1:1.01 is retained as upper threshold.

Candidate objects are checked against an October 2019 version of the Washington Double Star Catalog (WDS) ${ }^{3}$ and those noted therein as having a known orbit are removed from the list. It is not clear whether the orbit notes within the WDS are updated real time with every updated online version or are currently frozen at the point the Sixth Orbit Catalog ${ }^{4}$. Accordingly the IAU Double Star circulars (IAUDS circulars ${ }^{5}$ ), from 140 onwards (in order to comfortably predate the Sixth Orbit Catalog) are also checked to ensure none of the candidate objects has a published orbit in the circulars.

Similarly, the WDS notes and the Second Rectilinear Catalog ${ }^{6}$ and the IAUDS circulars are also checked for objects with published linear solutions. These are not removed from the candidate list for as it states in the catalogue particular orbits (especially slow eccentric ones) can have long
stretches of orbit that are indistinguishable from linear motion. In the case of these matching objects the following assumption is made : for two stars to be within 20 parsecs distance, have a projected physical separation of between 20 and 40 AU , and have nearly common proper motion in the hundreds of millarcseconds per year range in highly similar directions, to be random field stars coincidentally passing each other at this time is a far more 'pleading special circumstance' interpretation than for the two stars to be associated. They are also assumed to be of sufficient true proximity to be subject to gravitational interaction.

Finally, position angle and separation for the pair are obtained, and the astrometic parameters and magnitudes are noted using GAIA DR2. SIMBAD ${ }^{1}$ is examined for any extra information to be added, as are the WDS notes. The handful of objects with the greatest difference between the first and last separation dates listed in the WDS have their data requested from the observation and measures database held by the WDS to further examine any potential for orbital motion.

## Results

The astrometric solution and magnitudes pertinent to the WDS for all 26 candidates, as determined from GAIA DR2 positions and magnitudes, are presented in Table 1. Consequently the epoch of observation is 2015.5 throughout and the data are given to the precision of one decimal place for position angle and two decimal places for separation in order to reflect that dataset's precision. Separation in Astronomical Units is simply the current projected separation via reciprocalising the pair's average parallax. All of the objects are already included within the WDS except for one, with that object being included in the Washington Double Star Supplement (WDSS ${ }^{7}$ ), thus no new identifiers were required and each object is listed as per its discovery name in the WDS or by its WDSS identifier.

Astrophysical parameters are listed in Table 2. The distance is determined by simply reciprocalising the pair's average parallax. When the individual distances for each of the objects in a pair are determined in this way to 0.1 parsec precision 14 have the same distance, eleven differ by only 0.1 parsecs (mostly for the more distant objects) and only one differs by 0.2 parsecs, however even this is something of a rounding artefact as the two decimal difference is 0.13 . The differential proper motion in both Right Ascension and Declination are also quoted in order to give a potential observer an indication of the level of relative motion to be expected from each candidate pair.

Particular objects are now presented individually where clarification and/or matters of note are appropriate. This includes at least two very good candidates with record of past observations.

HJ 3473 The primary of this pair is the third magnitude naked eye star $\chi$ Eridani, a G8 giant and the pair lie nearly 18 parsec distant. The motion of the secondary relative to the primary from archived observations provided from the WDS team (Mason ${ }^{4}$ ) are presented in Figure 2, where North is up.

> These are plentiful enough but have a large gap between the first and the main body of observations and similarly the last, around six decades in both cases. If these extrema observations are removed (and the first appears to be approximate) the rest of the data would be safely represented by a linear solution as can be seen from Figure 2 . However, for two stars to have proper motion around 740 mas y ${ }^{-1}$ in very similar directions and yet have a projected separation of only 45 AU would be very coincidental. Even omitting the original observation of John Herschel still leads to the suggestion of an approaching periastron from a likely long eccentric orbit. The differential Declination is not greatly pronounced and as would be expected from Figure 2 that for Right Ascension barely exists.

Observationally the main problem is the large differential magnitude for the pair and in tandem with the Southerly Declination are probably the reasons for no observations in recent times.

BEU 5 This 12th magnitude or fainter red dwarf pair has a linear solution in IAUDS circular 190 but this nearly 700 mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ similar motion and direction pair of 22 AU projected separation
are unlikely to be coincidentally aligned. The pair are very faint and very close to each other on the sky with some small increase in separation over their known history, so present a strong challenge.

NSN 207
NSN 488
KPP 2693
\& KPP 3056

RST 203AB

JSP 208BC

LDS 3836

These are all pairs found from fairly recent modern astrometric survey data and consequently representing stella incognita to coin a pun, with little to no historical observations.
These red dwarf pairs are all quite faint and will present a challenge to even the well-equipped visual observer.

All the remaining pairs either contain a red dwarf or are red dwarf pairs with some discovered recently and others having initial observations over five decades old. Their astrometric particulars can be seen in Table 2 and their red-biassed GAIA DR2 magnitudes in Table 1.

The WDS gives a separation of 1.2 arcsec in 1929 relative to a GAIA one of 2.3 for 2015.5. It also notes that a linear solution exists, however given the near coincident sizeable proper motion of this 2015.535 AU projected separation pair orbital motion is more likely. The 9 th magnitude primary is a late K dwarf and the pair form an optical triple with ENO 11AC.

This binary is in fact a trinary along with LDS 198AB. Given the matching parallaxes and similar high motion for all three from GAIA DR2 gives the BC pair a projected separation of around 40 AU with the 60.6 arcsec separation LDS 198A lying a projected separation of around 310 AU from the pair. The differential proper motion of the pair for Right Ascension is large but not markedly so and that for Declination negligible. Both motions also differ slightly from LDS 198A, which should be relatively fixed with respect to the common centre of gravity of that pair, suggesting that it could be used as a reference point for the JSP 208BC pair and after any orbit was determined help determine the projected centre of mass of that pair.

Archival observations from the WDS team (Mason ${ }^{4}$ ) give few data points and mostly suggest an increasing then decreasing separation. In contradiction the position angle has increased in a slow near monotonic way over 85 years. If two very closely spaced mid 1980 s observations are removed then a very slow increase in separation appears with a slow increase in position angle.

Also known as the variable flare star EI Cnc this pair of late red dwarfs is a target for both Northern and Southern Hemispheres. The pair are around a mere 5 parsecs distant leading to a projected separation of only 11 AU and have by far the largest differential proper motions of all the candidates, comparable to that of known orbiters (qv. Porrima above).

The WDS states an initial observation of $4.5 \operatorname{arcsec}$ in 1960 . When the albeit sparse archival observations provided from the WDS team (Mason ${ }^{4}$ ) are plotted as secondary positions relative to a fixed primary it seems the secondary underwent periastron passage around the turn of the Millennium or soon after as shown in Figure 1, where North is up. One apparently discrepant observation for 2005 was removed as it would have led to a retrograde motion!

Fitting the observations with a not entirely appropriate parabola and extrapolating the unrealistic parabolic arc forwards in time suggests that the 2015 epoch 2.1 arcsec separation will have extended to around 3 arcsec by the late 2020s and have reached the same 4.5 arcsec 1960 measure by 2040 . On the other hand the observations suggest that the relative separation shrank from $4.5 \operatorname{arcsec}$ to near $1.5 \operatorname{arcsec}$ in 40 years.

A symmetric parabola could present a small near periastron section of a much larger orbit. Then again orbital motion for an apparent orbit is faster near periastron and slows toward apastron and if the orbit is instead relatively small the relative motion may move away from an apparent parabola. Finally, the differential proper motion in Right Ascension is nearly three times the size that of that for Declination so the separation could henceforth be widening more than relative to the parabolic case and in tandem with a decreasing post-periastron rate of
motion the increasing separation may end up being at a slower rate than either the putative small orbit case or the parabolic arc case.

Nevertheless this is a very good candidate for both measurement and observationally aesthetic prospects that is even a naked eye observer should note the pair widening in timescales not too large relative to a person's lifespan. The main downside being that the pair are around 13th magnitude visually.

GKI 4 This pair of high but sizeably differing proper motion appear to have narrowed by 0.7 arcsec between a 1998 measure and 2015.5. The main point of interest though is that although the WDS notes it as being a red dwarf and late red dwarf pair, (Bardalez Gagliuffi et al. ${ }^{4}$ list the secondary as L0 spectral type, a brown dwarf. Distance is around 11 parsecs and projected separation about 25 AU. The main observational problem lies with the pair's magnitudes, the GAIA DR2 magnitudes are somewhat red magnitudes so the 10th and 15th magnitudes in that data source will be even less visually. Nevertheless stacked unfiltered exposures from a red sensitive CCD may show it to some amateur scopes as followers of outbursting cataclysmic variables achieve magnitudes as faint as this often adequately enough and with short enough exposures to discern decimagnitude scale variations over very short timescales. Whether the large differential magnitude will allow the fainter star not be within the potentially overexposed image of the brighter star at this separation is another matter.

SKF 104 With an initial separation that appears to have increased by 0.6 arcsec between 2000 and 2015 and large differential motion this presents a good candidate orbital motion pair but with a short observational arc presenting little to work with and thus in need of future observations. It is likely a red dwarf pair with a primary nearly 10 th magnitude and a secondary nearly 12th magnitude visually so represents a challenge, but not a dramatic one.

TSN 119CD During the examination of this pair it became apparent that no third and fourth stars $=\mathrm{CPO} 84 \mathrm{AB}$ appeared in the field for this object, and that further the magnitudes listed for both pairs in WDS were of a similar nature. In fact the two are the same and given priority likely will return to just being CPO 84 AB . There is a $1905.5^{\text {' } \mathrm{AC}}$ ' measure of 4.2 arcsec separation, but given there is no C star it is not entirely clear what this represents in terms of reality. It is certainly different from the $2.3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ GAIA DR2 separation of 110 years later. This is a faint red dwarf pair of around 11th magnitude or less so presents a challenge in that context.

The WDS gives a separation of $5.0 \operatorname{arcsec}$ in 1892 whilst GAIA gives $2.7 \operatorname{arcsec}$ for 2015.5 The WDS also notes that a linear solution exists for the pair, however with 12 parsec distances for both the objects, similar scale and direction proper motions in excess of 400 mas $\mathrm{y}^{-} 1$, and a projected separation of 32 AU , a coincidental association seems unlikely. This late-K dwarf pair having similar 9th magnitudes appears to be one of the more tractable candidates for follow up.

SKF 245AC This multiple apparently consists of this pair as well as HDS 3080 Aa, Ab and MLB 1093AB. However the former is likely an artefact Hipparcos pair and the latter can be seen to be optical due to a very different proper motion and is noted in WDS as having a linear solution. Joining the ranks of not unknown WDS objects were a subsequently connected pair is found for one of an optical pair this differential magnitude pair presents something of a challenge observationally.

## Conclusions

The use of GAIA DR2 astrometric information and the WDS with extra information backup from SIMBAD can lead to finding near in space close pairs with very high proper motion where the proper motion difference is not sufficient to disassociate the pair but sufficient enough to give a


Figure 2: Observations over time for LDS 3836 (left) and HJ 3476 (right). North is up
signal of modification due to other kinds of motion. The most likely signal being that of orbital motion.

In such a way candidate targets can be selected for observation which in relatively reasonable timescales would lead to enough datapoints to enable at least a preliminary orbital solution to be presented.

However for objects that have meaningful motions which have not already been noted means that fresh candidates unknown to the community are likely to be very visually challenging even on professional level equipment, with even imaging technologies being taxed. Nevertheless the fact that all the candidates had already been detected sufficient to have been included in either the WDS or its Supplement shows that it is possible to so detect these objects and measure them astrometrically.
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Table 1: Double star astrometric solutions as taken or derived from GAIA DR2. RA and DEC are Right Ascension and Declination to Epoch 2015.5, $\mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{2}$ are GAIA DR2 magnitudes, dist pc is the distance in parsecs derived by simply taking the average of both objects' parallax and inverting it, Position Angle in degrees and Separation in both arcseconds and Astronomical Units are all derived values.

| NAME | RA J2000 <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Dec J2000 <br> $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RA2 } \\ & \left(^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec2 } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | dist <br> (pc) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA } \\ & \left(^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rho \\ & \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Sep $(\mathrm{AU})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HJ 3473 | 28.99413 | -51.60761 | 28.99360 | -51.60823 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 17.4 | 27.6 | 2.56 | 45 |
| BRT 357 | 33.11909 | -8.07150 | 33.11809 | -8.07165 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 261.4 | 3.61 | 60 |
| LDS3404 | 39.19713 | $+32.07192$ | 39.19771 | $+32.07223$ | 12.3 | 12.6 | 19.5 | 57.8 | 2.09 | 41 |
| BEU 5 | 61.83621 | -24.48988 | 61.83657 | -24.48991 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 18.5 | 93.5 | 1.18 | 22 |
| NSN 207 | 62.23165 | -31.48271 | 62.23126 | -31.48272 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 268.3 | 1.23 | 22 |
| CHR 15 | 63.28937 | $+50.52725$ | 63.28993 | $+50.52754$ | 12.7 | 13.3 | 19.9 | 50.8 | 1.65 | 33 |
| JNN 28 | 71.71513 | -11.28015 | 71.71472 | -11.28004 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 18.9 | 285.3 | 1.50 | 28 |
| HEN 4 | 97.6929 | $+76.71707$ | 97.69447 | -76.71697 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 8.9 | 74.2 | 1.32 | 12 |
| RST 203AB | 99.29783 | $-50.03823$ | 99.29774 | $-50.03887$ | 9.0 | 11.2 | 15.1 | 185.2 | 2.31 | 35 |
| LDS6200 | 100.95809 | $+51.13510$ | 100.95734 | $+51.13508$ | 11.5 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 267.6 | 1.70 | 32 |
| HEN 2 | 104.49087 | $+62.31979$ | 104.49016 | $+62.31964$ | 12.2 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 245.5 | 1.30 | 15 |
| WDSS | 117.46148 | -3.28894 | 117.46094 | -3.28898 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 17.0 | 266.8 | 1.94 | 33 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0749509 \\ & -031719 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JSP 208BC | 119.48320 | -60.29903 | 119.48193 | -60.29876 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 16.2 | 293.2 | 2.47 | 40 |
| LDS3836 | 134.55930 | +19.76297 | 134.55882 | +19.76258 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 228.6 | 2.12 | 11 |
| KUI 55 | 167.82745 | $+43.41542$ | 167.82880 | $+43.41555$ | 10.5 | 10.6 | 16.7 | 262.4 | 3.56 | 60 |
| GKI 4 | 220.58672 | $+66.05562$ | 220.58830 | $+66.05557$ | 9.9 | 15.3 | 10.9 | 94.5 | 2.32 | 25 |
| HDS2118 | 225.23291 | $+45.42768$ | 225.23310 | $+45.42715$ | 8.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 165.9 | 1.97 | 23 |
| SKF 104 | 263.80722 | -48.67884 | 263.80594 | -48.67787 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 318.9 | 4.63 | 45 |
| KPP3056 | 272.81490 | $-78.98837$ | 272.81636 | -78.98864 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 134.1 | 1.40 | 16 |
| JOD 15 | 275.86768 | $+28.16696$ | 275.86771 | $+28.16662$ | 11.4 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 174.1 | 1.23 | 18 |
| TSN 119CD | 282.17342 | -46.78515 | 282.17385 | -46.78458 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 17.6 | 27.9 | 2.32 | 41 |
| COO 228 | 284.37739 | -55.99380 | 284.37732 | -55.99306 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 12.3 | 357.0 | 2.67 | 33 |
| NSN 488 | 297.90130 | -35.17665 | 297.90177 | $+35.17703$ | 12.2 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 134.7 | 1.95 | 22 |
| SKF 245AC | 324.50394 | $+27.72346$ | 324.50424 | $+27.72435$ | 9.1 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 16.6 | 3.34 | 44 |
| KPP2693 | 329.08118 | -10.33914 | 329.08128 | -10.33950 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 19.7 | 164.7 | 1.34 | 26 |
| BRG33 | 340.08096 | -49.51717 | 340.08206 | -49.51638 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 42.1 | 3.83 | 46 |

Table 2: Astrometric parameters from GAIA DR2. Parallax (Plx) is in milliarcseconds per year, PMRA and PMDEC are the Proper Motion in Right Ascension and Declination respectively whilst delPMRA and delPMDEC are their differences all in milliarcseconds and distance in parsecs is derived from average parallax.

| NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \pi_{1} \\ & \text { (mas) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi_{2} \\ & \text { (mas) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mu_{\alpha_{1}}$ <br> mas/yr | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha_{2}} \\ & \text { mas/yr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\delta_{1}} \\ & \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{yr} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\delta_{2}} \\ & \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mu_{\alpha} \\ & \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mu_{\delta} \\ & \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr} \end{aligned}$ | dist <br> pc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HJ 3473 | 57 | 57 | $+682$ | $+686$ | $+295$ | +332 | 3 | 36 | 17.4 |
| BRT 357 | 60 | 60 | -605 | -609 | -489 | -448 | 4 | 41 | 16.7 |
| LDS3404 | 51 | 51 | -331 | -325 | -60 | -92 | 6 | 32 | 19.5 |
| BEU 5 | 54 | 54 | $+186$ | $+219$ | -643 | -669 | 33 | 26 | 18.5 |
| NSN 207 | 55 | 56 | -2 | -19 | -251 | -217 | 18 | 34 | 18.0 |
| CHR 15 | 50 | 50 | -396 | -394 | -195 | $-176$ | 1 | 19 | 19.9 |
| JNN 28 | 53 | 53 | -142 | -141 | -58 | -43 | 1 | 15 | 18.9 |
| HEN 4 | 112 | 113 | -37 | -13 | $+524$ | $+448$ | 24 | 76 | 8.9 |
| RST 203AB | 66 | 66 | +158 | +190 | +5 | +3 | 32 | 2 | 15.1 |
| LDS6200 | 53 | 53 | +86 | +105 | -870 | -911 | 19 | 41 | 18.9 |
| HEN 2 | 87 | 87 | $+300$ | $+320$ | -536 | -476 | 20 | 60 | 11.5 |
| WDSS | 59 | 59 | $-174$ | -140 | -65 | -38 | 34 | 28 | 17.0 |
| 0749509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JSP 208BC | 62 | 62 | +502 | +536 | +122 | $+125$ | 34 | 3 | 16.2 |
| LDS3836 | 195 | 195 | -766 | -939 | -99 | -36 | 173 | 63 | 5.1 |
| KUI 55 | 60 | 60 | -624 | -638 | -443 | -453 | 15 | 10 | 16.7 |
| GKI 4 | 91 | 92 | -299 | -338 | -39 | 25 | 38 | 64 | 10.9 |
| HDS2118 | 85 | 85 | $+225$ | $+258$ | +329 | $+315$ | 33 | 14 | 11.7 |
| SKF 104 | 103 | 104 | +74 | -9 | $+470$ | +503 | 84 | 32 | 9.7 |
| KPP3056 | 86 | 85 | +60 | +98 | $+304$ | $+275$ | 37 | 29 | 11.7 |
| JOD 15 | 68 | 68 | $-77$ | -95 | -184 | -195 | 18 | 11 | 14.8 |
| TSN 119CD | 57 | 57 | $+216$ | +180 | +136 | +124 | 36 | 12 | 17.6 |
| COO 228 | 81 | 81 | -8 | +35 | -442 | -451 | 44 | 9 | 12.3 |
| NSN 488 | 88 | 88 | $+382$ | $+351$ | +98 | +45 | 31 | 52 | 11.3 |
| SKF 245AC | 76 | 77 | $+481$ | $+453$ | -63 | -80 | 28 | 17 | 13.1 |
| KPP2693 | 51 | 51 | -307 | -284 | $-272$ | -259 | 23 | 13 | 19.7 |
| BRG 33 | 84 | 84 | $+468$ | $+459$ | $+172$ | $+145$ | 9 | 27 | 11.9 |

# DOUBLE STAR MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED WITH A MEADE 12 MM ASTROMETRIC EYEPIECE DURING 2019 
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## Introduction

The following measurements (Table 1) were made throughout 2019 using a Meade 12 mm astrometric illuminated reticle eyepiece plus 2.5 x powermate attached to an Altair Astro 115 mm triplet refractor (f.l. $805 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{f} 7$ ). The total magnification was 167.5 x with a field of view of $0^{\circ} .3$.

All final separation values were calculated from a calibration determined by the timing/transit method (Simple Techniques of Measurement: E.T.H. Teague, Chapter 12 in Observing and Measuring Visual Double Stars ed. Argyle, pub. Springer). This was performed at the start of the year and gave a final value of $12^{\prime \prime} .53 \pm 0^{\prime \prime} .01$ per division on the reticle measurement scale.

Position Angle measurements were made using the now tried and tested method previously outlined in DSSC 25 which involves using the directional west arrow on the synscan handset to electronically steer the primary to the outer edge of the protractor scale. This enables repeated measurements to be made with ease without having to disable the tracking of the mount.

As before, 10 separation and 10 position angle measurements ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ ) were performed on the same evening for each pair and the final results and associated uncertainties later calculated.

Extensive use was again made of the Astroplanner software. Separations throughout this year were all limited to a range from 15 to 70 arc-seconds. As in previous years magnitudes ranged from 0.5 to 8.5.

As most of these systems are comparatively wide the orbits are generally very long ( 678 years + ) and, as a result, very few have attempted orbit calculations. Residuals (from the online version of 6th USNO Catalogue of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars) have, however, been given for the few systems calculated but none have a better than grade 4 certainty (Table 2).

Values from the Fourth Catalogue of Interferometric Measurements have provided a more extensive set of residuals but most of these are from 1991 which is 28 years before the author's measurements. Although many of the systems have not changed in this time-interval some have and, in certain cases, this may possibly explain the larger residuals calculated (Table 3).

For the systems with larger residuals, alternative values have been calculated using the latest WDSC measurements (mostly 2018) for comparison. As can be seen many of the residuals calculated from these WDSC figures are smaller. This would seem to imply measurable PA and separation changes to these systems over relatively short timescales ( 27 years maximum) which is surprising when the possible orbital periods are potentially thousands of years (Table 4).
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Table 1: Measurements

| Pair | Comp | RA | Dec | Va | Vb | $\begin{gathered} \text { PA } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\pm$ | $\underset{(\prime \prime)}{\text { Sep }}$ <br> (" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\pm$ | Epoch <br> (2019+ | N | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 457 | AB | 04531 | -0117 | 7.93 | 8.07 | 354.0 | 0.1 | 40.8 | 0.2 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| STF 612 | AB | 04543 | +0722 | 8.33 | 8.41 | 199.4 | 0.1 | 15.3 | 0.2 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| SHJ 49 | AB | 04590 | +1433 | 6.06 | 7.43 | 306.0 | 0.1 | 39.0 | 0.1 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| STF 627 | AB | 05006 | $+0337$ | 6.59 | 6.95 | 260.0 | 0.1 | 21.9 | 0.1 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| BVD 50 | AB | 05092 | +1130 | 8.35 | 8.49 | 104.0 | 0.1 | 31.1 | 0.3 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| STF 696 | AB | 05228 | +0333 | 4.95 | 6.76 | 28.5 | 0.1 | 32.0 | 0.2 | . 112 | 20 | WST |
| STF 697 | AB | 05235 | +1602 | 7.27 | 8.10 | 287.0 | 0.1 | 25.4 | 0.2 | . 123 | 20 | WST |
| STF 734 | AC | 05331 | -0143 | 6.67 | 8.35 | 243.0 | 0.1 | 29.2 | 0.2 | . 123 | 20 | WST |
| STF 747 | AB | 05350 | -0600 | 4.70 | 5.51 | 224.3 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 0.1 | . 123 | 20 | WST |
| STF 855 | AB | 06090 | +0230 | 5.68 | 6.68 | 114.6 | 0.1 | 29.1 | 0.2 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1026 | AB | 07229 | $+5517$ | 5.76 | 6.71 | 316.9 | 0.1 | 13.8 | 0.1 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1315 | AB | 09128 | +6141 | 7.33 | 7.65 | 27.8 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.1 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1321 | AB | 09144 | +5241 | 7.79 | 7.88 | 98.2 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 0.2 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1369 | AB | 09354 | +3958 | 6.98 | 7.98 | 149.8 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.1 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1415 | AB | 10178 | +7104 | 6.65 | 7.27 | 168.0 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 0.2 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 1603 | AB | 12081 | +5528 | 7.82 | 8.26 | 84.0 | 0.1 | 22.6 | 0.1 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2245 | AB | 17419 | +7209 | 4.60 | 5.59 | 14.0 | 0.1 | 29.6 | 0.2 | . 295 | 20 | WST |
| STTA 163 | AB | 17563 | +6237 | 7.77 | 7.59 | 52.6 | 0.1 | 54.1 | 0.2 | . 298 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2270 | AB | 17592 | +6409 | 7.31 | 7.63 | 282.3 | 0.1 | 22.6 | 0.1 | . 298 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2300 | AB | 18002 | +8000 | 5.70 | 6.00 | 232.0 | 0.1 | 18.7 | 0.1 | . 358 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2278 | AB | 18029 | +5626 | 7.78 | 8.14 | 28.9 | 0.2 | 36.3 | 0.1 | . 358 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2420 | AB | 18512 | +5923 | 4.77 | 8.26 | 316.0 | 0.2 | 37.6 | 0.1 | . 358 | 20 | WST |
| SHJ 286 | AB | 19050 | -0402 | 5.52 | 6.98 | 211.3 | 0.1 | 39.6 | 0.2 | . 701 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2497 | AB | 19200 | +0535 | 7.73 | 8.49 | 356.0 | 0.1 | 29.3 | 0.2 | . 701 | 20 | WST |
| STFA 43 | AB | 19307 | +2758 | 3.19 | 4.68 | 54.3 | 0.1 | 35.2 | 0.3 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2540 | AD | 19312 | +6319 | 8.34 | 8.03 | 268.5 | 0.1 | 55.8 | 0.2 | . 358 | 20 | WST |
| ARN 82 | AB | 19364 | +3541 | 8.10 | 8.43 | 33.0 | 0.1 | 43.9 | 0.1 | . 717 | 20 | WST |
| STFA 46 | AB | 19418 | +5032 | 6.00 | 6.23 | 131.7 | 0.2 | 39.5 | 0.2 | . 717 | 20 | WST |
| H 5137 | AB | 19459 | +3501 | 6.22 | 8.18 | 25.0 | 0.1 | 38.8 | 0.1 | . 717 | 20 | WST |
| STFA 48 | AB | 19534 | $+2020$ | 7.14 | 7.34 | 147.0 | 0.1 | 41.7 | 0.2 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2594 | AB | 19546 | -0814 | 5.65 | 6.35 | 171.0 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 0.1 | . 701 | 20 | WST |
| SHJ 314 | AF | 20060 | +3547 | 6.78 | 7.30 | 28.0 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 0.1 | . 717 | 20 | WST |
| WEB 12 | AB | 20078 | +1950 | 8.36 | 8.37 | 77.0 | 0.1 | 40.1 | 0.1 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| S 738 | AB | 20106 | +3338 | 7.76 | 8.43 | 106.0 | 0.1 | 42.6 | 0.1 | . 717 | 20 | WST |
| S 735 | AB | 20113 | -0008 | 7.16 | 7.98 | 211.0 | 0.1 | 56.4 | 0.1 | . 701 | 20 | WST |
| S 740 | AB | 20142 | $+0635$ | 7.77 | 8.06 | 192.2 | 0.1 | 43.9 | 0.1 | . 701 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2664 | AB | 20196 | +1300 | 8.07 | 8.34 | 321.0 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 0.2 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2687 | AB | 20264 | +5638 | 6.37 | 8.31 | 117.5 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.1 | . 722 | 20 | WST |
| S 749 | AB | 20275 | -0206 | 6.76 | 7.51 | 188.9 | 0.1 | 60.1 | 0.3 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2691 | AB | 20297 | +3808 | 8.14 | 8.45 | 32.0 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 0.1 | . 722 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2690 | AB-C | 20312 | +1116 | 7.12 | 7.39 | 256.0 | 0.1 | 18.5 | 0.1 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2703 | AB | 20368 | +1444 | 8.35 | 8.42 | 290.0 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.1 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2758 | AB | 21069 | +3845 | 5.20 | 6.05 | 153.8 | 0.1 | 31.7 | 0.2 | . 722 | 20 | WST |
| STF 2769 | AB | 21105 | +2227 | 6.65 | 7.42 | 299.0 | 0.1 | 18.8 | 0.1 | . 703 | 20 | WST |
| STT 447 | AE | 21395 | +4144 | 7.67 | 8.48 | 42.8 | 0.2 | 29.1 | 0.2 | . 722 | 20 | WST |
| S 800 | AB | 21538 | $+6237$ | 7.07 | 7.91 | 145.0 | 0.1 | 62.7 | 0.1 | . 358 | 20 | WST |


| ARY 45 | AB | 22083 | +6959 | 7.86 | 8.11 | 206.7 | 0.1 | 66.7 | 0.2 | .358 | 20 | WST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STF 2872 | AB-C | 22086 | +5917 | 7.14 | 7.98 | 315.0 | 0.1 | 22.8 | 0.2 | .358 | 20 | WST |

## Table 2: Residuals from Known Orbits (6th Catalogue)

| Pair | ADS <br> $($ HIP $)$ | Res. <br> PA <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Res. <br> Sep <br> $(\prime \prime$ |  | Orbit | Period | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Grade

(All Residuals: Observed - latest Catalogue measurement)

Table 3: Residuals from Fourth Catalogue of Interferometric Measurements

| Pair | Comp | ADS(BDS) | HIP (TYC) | Epoch (Catalogue) | Residuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | PA ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Sep( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| S 457 | AB | (2400) | 2271 | 1991.57 | -0.7 | -0.2 |
| STF 612 | AB | 3514 | (9165 00808) | 1991.43 | -0.4 | -0.9 |
| SHJ 49 | AB | 3579 | 23161 | 1991.46 | +0.6 | -0.3 |
| STF 627 | AB | 3597 | 2328 | 2004.11 | -0.1 | $+0.9$ |
| BVD 50 | AB |  | 23977 | 1991.665 | $+0.2$ | 0.0 |
| STF 696 | AB | 3962 | 25142 | 2002.901 | -0.4 | $+0.4$ |
| STF 697 | AB | 3969 | 25207 | 1991.54 | +1.3 | -0.6 |
| STF 734 | AC | 4150 | 26020 | 2002.901 | -0.3 | -0.1 |
| STF 747 | AB | 4182 | 26199 | 1991.64 | +0.6 | +0.1 |
| STF 855 | AB | 4749 | 29151 | 2002.977 | $+0.9$ | $+0.4$ |
| STF 1062 | AB | 6012 | 35785 | 2002.996 | +1.2 | -0.9 |
| STF 1315 | AB | 7226 | 45206 | 1991.59 | $+0.9$ | $+0.3$ |
| STF 1321 | AB | 7251 | 45343 | 1991.81 | $+7.7$ | -0.8 |
| STF 1369 | AB | 7438 | 47053 | 2003.311 | -0.1 | $+0.2$ |
| STF 1415 | AB | 7705 | 50433 | 2003.251 | $+0.7$ | 0.0 |
| STF 1603 | AB | 8434 | 59176 | 1991.63 | +1.6 | $+0.3$ |
| STF 2241 | AB | 10759 | 86614 | 1991.70 | -1.5 | -0.6 |
| STTA 163 | AB | (8295) | 87815 | 1991.64 | +2.6 | -0.6 |
| STF 2273 | AB | 10985 | 88071 | 1991.77 | -0.9 | +1.3 |
| STF 2308 | AB | 11061 | 88136 | 2012.772 | +2.0 | -0.8 |
| STF 2278 | AB | 11035 | 88381 | 1991.60 | $+0.9$ | $+0.1$ |
| STF 2420 | AB | 11779 | 92512 | 2003.628 | -3.4 | +1.1 |
| SHJ 286 | AB | 12007 | 93717 | 2003.418 | $+1.7$ | $+0.4$ |
| STF 2497 | AB | (9208) | 95017 | 1991.79 | -0.6 | -0.7 |
| STFA 43 | AB | 12540 | 95947 | 2003.418 | $+0.8$ | $+0.8$ |
| STF 2549 | AD | 12586 | 96002 | 1991.76 | -2.4 | $+1.2$ |
| ARN 82 | AB | ( $\mathrm{BD}+353705$ ) | (2667 00321) | 1991.61 | -1.4 | +0.6 |
| STFA 46 | AB | 2815 | 96895 | 2003.628 | -2.0 | $+0.4$ |


| H 5137 | AB | 12900 | 97442 | 2003.628 | 0.0 | +0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STFA 48 | AB | (9705) | 97876 | 1991.69 | $+0.2$ | -0.4 |
| STF 259 | AB | 13087 | 97966 | 2003.418 | $+0.4$ | $+0.6$ |
| SHJ 314 | AF | 13374 | 99002 | 2003.695 | +0.3 | +1.6 |
| WEB 12 | AB | (1625 00995) |  | 1991.703 | $+0.2$ | -0.9 |
| S 738 | AB | 13463 | 99409 | 1991.61 | -0.6 | $+0.7$ |
| S 735 | AB | (9961) | 99476 | 1991.49 | +1.7 | +1.0 |
| S 740 | AB | (1001) | 9972 | 1991.54 | $+0.4$ | $+0.6$ |
| STF 2664 | AB | (BD+12 4291) | 100226 | 1991.49 | -0.5 | -0.5 |
| STF 2687 | AB | 13870 | 100868 | 2003.629 | +0.2 | -0.7 |
| S 749 | AB | 13868 | 100896 | 1991.46 | +0.4 | $+0.2$ |
| STF 2691 | AB | 13919 | 101109 | 1991.68 | $+0.6$ | -0.9 |
| STF 2690 | A-BC | 13946 | 101233 | 1991.52 | $+1.2$ | +1.3 |
| STF 2703 | AB | (10161) | 101700 | 1991.64 | -0.1 | -0.2 |
| STF 275 | AB | 14636 | 104214 | 1991.69 | +5.8 | +1.6 |
| STF 276 | AB | 14710 | 104539 | 2003.784 | -0.2 | +0.9 |
| STT 447 | AE | 15186 | (3191 00346) | 1991.67 | -1.8 | $+0.2$ |
| S 800 | AB | 15434 | 108073 | 1991.61 | -0.1 | $+0.2$ |
| ARY 45 | AB | (1225) | 109275 | 1991.67 | +0.1 | $+0.1$ |
| STF 2872 | A-BC | 15670 | (3981 1587) | 1991.68 | -0.9 | +1.6 |

Table 4: Alternative Residuals: WDSC

| Pair | Comp | Epoch <br> WDSC | Epoch(4th Cat) | Residual PA ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  | Residual Sep (' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (WDSC) | (4th Cat.) | (WDSC) | (4th Cat.) |
| STF 1321 | AB | 2018 | (1991.81) | 0.0 | (-0.8) | -0.3 | $(+7.7)$ |
| STF 1603 | AB | 2018 | (1991.63) | -0.5 | $(+0.3)$ | +0.1 | $(+1.6)$ |
| STTA 163 | AB | 2017 | (1991.64) | -0.4 | (-0.6) | -0.2 | $(+2.6)$ |
| STF 2308 | AB | 2018 | (2012.7725) | -0.2 | (-0.8) | 0.0 | $(+2.0)$ |
| STF 2420 | AB | 2017 | (2003.628) | -1.0 | $(+1.1)$ | +0.1 | (-3.4) |
| STF 2549 | AD | 2018 | (1991.76) | -2.0 | $(+1.2)$ | 0.0 | (-2.4) |
| STFA 46 | AB | 2018 | (2003.628) | -1.9 | $(+0.4)$ | -0.4 | (-2.0) |
| S 735 | AB | 2018 | (1991.61) | 0.0 | $(+1.0)$ | $+0.4$ | $(+1.7)$ |
| STF 2690 | A-BC | 2018 | (1991.52) | +0.4 | $(+1.3)$ | +0.8 | $(+1.2)$ |
| STF 2758 | AB | 2018 | (1991.69) | $+0.7$ | $(+1.6)$ | -0.3 | (+5.8) |
| STT 447 | AE | 2017 | (1991.67) | -1.5 | $(+0.2)$ | $+0.2$ | (-1.8) |
| STF 2872 | A-BC | 2018 | (1991.68) | -1.1 | (+1.6) | +1.1 | (-0.9) |
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#### Abstract

High proper motion stars from the Lowell Proper Motion Survey noted double in SIMBAD but not in the WDS were checked against GAIA DR2 and their 2015.5 epoch separations and position angles for thirty six objects derived


## Methodology

During an earlier unrelated task it was noted that some stars from the Lowell Proper Motion Survey (Giclas ${ }^{1}$ ) denoted as double stars ( $* *$ ) in SIMBAD e.g. Wenger et al. ${ }^{2}$ ) were not actually included in the Washington Double Star Catalogue (henceforth WDS, e.g. Mason et al. ${ }^{3}$ ), despite 194 other objects from the Lowell survey being included.

Accordingly all objects denoted as double stars were derived from SIMBAD using their TAP interface which resulted in a total of over 630,000 such stars. As SIMBAD also includes the Washington Double Star Catalogue those stars had to be removed. Such an exercise was conducted by using the CDS X-Match service to cross link the results against the WDS and removing the resultant list from the main list via a relational database. Other objects were then manually removed, especially where SIMBAD had included the double star notation due to them being extrinsic variables, which meant eclipsing binaries of one form or another. This resulted in a master list of approximately 4,500 double stars remaining.

A casual glance revealed that a small but significant proportion of these still carried discoverer codes evidently of the kind used in the WDS. This is was because these objects had originally appeared in data included within professional publications and/or legacy/classic lists which as a matter of course have been imported into SIMBAD over the years irrespective of their inclusion in the WDS. These were retained in the master list for potential future investigation, however examination of a random sample suggested that most are likely still in the WDS in some form or other, either having been missed by the CDS X-Match service due to poor original positional astronomy or included in the Washington Double Star Supplement (henceforth WDSS, Mason \& Hartkopf, 2017 onwards ${ }^{4}$ ).

From this master list the final just over 50 objects from the Lowell Proper Motion Survey were selected and double checked for not being in either both the current WDS or WDSS (both being mid-September 2019 online versions). The former because such high proper motion objects as many of these stars are can still be missed by even relatively wide area crossmatch searches, the latter as it contains objects from large surveys of the kind not likely to be imported into SIMBAD due to their sheer scale. Several stars were removed due to their inclusion in the WDSS despite the fact that technically the Lowell survey has priority over the later survey discoveries by at least half a century in most cases. This is because SIMBAD carries the reference to the Lowell survey as the source of the data for the stars but does not clarify where it obtained the fact that these objects were members of a pair. Examination of the source paper for that survey revealed that it did not include these facts in the notes to the tables. The author could not determine why the class of double star had been added to these objects via SIMBAD. Equally, there is no worthwhile positional information from SIMBAD to allow for an accurate determination of Position Angle and Separation of each pair, in fact given the wide field nature of such surveys the eventual results (below) suggest that these pairs may not have even been separated on the original plates.

These objects were manually cross matched against a local subset copy of GAIA Data Release 2 (DR2) (e.g. Brown et al. ${ }^{5}$ ) from which their duplicity was examined. Both objects in the pair had to have data listed for proper motions and parallax to allow intercomparison given that the large proper motions of these objects is likely to take them close to faint field stars, as many of the secondary stars are quite to very faint. If the secondary had no such data despite an adjacent to very adjacent object being available from the GAIA data it was not included. In some cases this was the situation for the primary instead, although in one case the appropriate proper motions were known for the somewhat brighter primary despite not being included in GAIA and matched the GAIA details of the very adjacent secondary sufficient for it to be included in the final list.

The GAIA broadband magnitudes and their relative positions for epoch 2015.5 were derived from GAIA DR2, the identifiers and Epoch and Equinox J2000 coordinates were retained from the SIMBAD data and finally both SIMBAD and LAMOST data release 5 (DR5) was also examined to see if any stars had spectral classification.

## Results

A total of 36 objects were derived. The objects from the original list that were not included were shared evenly amongst those that had subsequently been noted in more modern large surveys and thus included in the WDSS and those with insufficient data for both pairs with which to be able to properly compare them.

The results revealed that the majority of the objects, whether in the final 36 or the full Lowell survey shortlist, had separations rarely greater than 2 arcseconds with none separated by more than 3 arcseconds and the majority separated by less then 2 arcseconds, with in some cases separations of less than 1 arcsecond. Pairs had common high proper motions according to GAIA, and a handful were as close as 40 to 50 parsecs based on a naïve interpretation of their parallaxes. Further, even given the small angular separations and relative proximity to the Solar System and further assuming that their current angular separations were reasonably representative of any orbit's semimajor axis it is unlikely for any to have had an orbital period of less than a thousand years, but this does not preclude the chance of observers noting any relative motion over the years.

Most of the pairs had red dwarf primaries, with some exceptions, most notable of which is G 158-39 which is a white dwarf and has a similar brightness companion. However no independent colour information for the secondary is available, whether from GAIA or elsewhere, to suggest its type. Possibly a simple visual inspection by an observer may clarify that point, however the pair are quite faint and a mere 1.25 arcseconds apart at this time. As can often be the case with these very large equal motion transversely very proximate pairs the pair have somewhat disparate parallaxes in GAIA, but taking a pessimistic distance to the pair of 100 parsecs would give their current transverse angular separation as around 125 Astronomical Units, or just over 4 times the Sun - Neptune distance.

The results are presented below in Table 1. Note that for close brightness pairs the more redbiassed GAIA magnitude may not give the same component as the brightest of the pair as Johnson $V$ band or a visual inspection would.

Table 1: The identifier from the original catalogue and J2000 coordinates as taken from SIMBAD, the position angle ( $\theta$ ) and separation $(\rho)$ derived and the magnitudes taken from GAIA DR2 and spectra mostly taken from LAMOST DR5.

| Identifier | RA J2000 $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | Dec J2000 $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $G \mathrm{mag} \mathrm{A}$ | $G m a g$ B | Spec A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G 3-44 | 1.84771 | +10.84897 | 351.6 | 0.73 | 13.50 | 14.77 | - |
| G 13-51 | 2.69413 | $+36.77842$ | 188.2 | 1.42 | 14.01 | 14.03 | - |
| G 15-39 | 3.13408 | -05.55891 | 108.5 | 1.25 | 16.73 | 16.98 | DA4.8 |
| G 17-27 | 13.27738 | $+48.49387$ | 20.3 | 1.24 | 12.22 | 14.01 | - |
| G $26-76$ | 15.32325 | -26.59242 | 17.4 | 0.75 | 14.10 | 15.37 | - |
| G 7-31 | 15.88101 | $+05.07854$ | 6.9 | 1.49 | 11.72 | 13.62 | - |
| G 7-13 | 30.07446 | +04.21708 | 217.9 | 1.87 | 13.78 | 15.53 | - |
| G 15-41 | 32.18604 | -00.33383 | 111.6 | 1.66 | 13.20 | 14.48 | - |
| G 24-51 | 32.52013 | +65.30658 | 288.5 | 0.79 | 13.58 | 14.59 | - |
| G 3-39 | 73.30238 | $+38.50900$ | 112.0 | 1.73 | 14.00 | 16.65 | - |
| G 9-32 | 79.18679 | $+08.86483$ | 298.7 | 1.50 | 15.62 | 18.20 | - |
| G 10-16 | 100.58379 | $+40.30797$ | 10.1 | 1.57 | 14.10 | 15.93 | M0 |
| G 25-18 | 101.70204 | $+64.14081$ | 69.1 | 0.91 | 12.24 | 12.29 | - |
| G 19-49 | 102.59633 | +53.98978 | 77.8 | 1.71 | 12.74 | 15.30 | F9 |
| G 11-29 | 105.32192 | +13.80239 | 90.0 | 1.33 | 13.44 | 14.13 | M2 |
| G 11-24 | 134.74300 | -03.14580 | 87.2 | 2.23 | 12.14 | 14.17 | - |
| G 4-40 | 141.08188 | $+24.64837$ | 233.4 | 1.63 | 14.45 | 14.53 | M3 |
| G 23-50 | 149.38079 | +64.40078 | 358.1 | 2.34 | 15.55 | 17.01 | - |
| G 11-63 | 157.30078 | $+37.30306$ | 307.0 | 2.69 | 12.02 | 14.76 | M0 |
| G 14-40 | 169.66038 | $+38.97233$ | 223.5 | 1.79 | 15.16 | 17.75 | - |
| G 16-76 | 170.05152 | -00.78179 | 128.7 | 1.61 | 13.46 | 17.12 | G7 |
| G 23-79 | 174.93704 | $+71.00497$ | 238.4 | 1.65 | 12.95 | 15.60 | - |
| G 12-30 | 186.23283 | $+42.22547$ | 186.9 | 1.78 | 13.59 | 15.17 | - |
| G 14-13 | 193.89650 | -02.91325 | 344.6 | 2.17 | 12.43 | 13.67 | K7 |
| G 6-24 | 211.01238 | +07.59536 | 178.1 | 1.08 | 12.03 | 13.70 | K7 |
| G 18-16 | 239.46847 | $+43.89565$ | 158.7 | 0.93 | 12.51 | 12.55 | M2 |
| G 18-15 | 254.18863 | $+38.63686$ | 320.1 | 1.36 | 13.34 | 15.96 | M2 |
| G 17-40 | 261.97742 | $+22.14206$ | 250.9 | 2.86 | 14.45 | 14.68 | - |
| G 25-24 | 268.42838 | $+65.47047$ | 302.9 | 1.19 | 14.70 | 14.90 | - |
| G $25-34$ | 275.26750 | $+71.61308$ | 317.8 | 1.94 | 13.71 | 15.37 | - |
| G 20-49 | 284.61229 | $+41.79267$ | 56.9 | 1.19 | 12.11 | 12.63 | - |
| G 12-68 | 302.76913 | $+36.31025$ | 293.1 | 1.01 | 12.88 | 15.21 | - |
| G 14-19 | 317.27511 | $+21.76655$ | 168.2 | 1.14 | 12.93 | 13.21 | - |
| G 23-46 | 330.16058 | $+53.61575$ | 35.8 | 1.24 | 14.73 | 15.71 | - |
| G 15-6 | 345.69538 | -10.43661 | 209.4 | 1.49 | 13.99 | 15.31 | - |
| G 12-79 | 353.63703 | $+24.52013$ | 113.5 | 1.89 | 13.98 | 14.76 | - |
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# A MISCELLANY OF SIMBAD DOUBLE STARS NOT LISTED IN THE WDS 

John Greaves
E-mail: cpmjg@tutanota.com


#### Abstract

Stars noted as being double in SIMBAD but not in the WDS were checked against GAIA DR2 and the 2015.5 epoch position angles and separations for twenty three objects derived.


## Methodology

As stated earlier in this circular it was noted that some stars from the Lowell Proper Motion Survey ${ }^{1}$ denoted as double stars $(* *)$ in SIMBAD ${ }^{2}$ were not actually included in the Washington Double Star Catalogue (henceforth WDS ${ }^{3}$ ).Accordingly all objects denoted as double stars were derived from SIMBAD using their TAP interface which resulted in a total of over 630,000 such stars. As SIMBAD also includes the Washington Double Star Catalogue those stars had to be removed. Such an exercise was conducted by using the CDS X-Match service to cross link the results against the WDS and removing the resultant list from the main list via a relational database. Other objects were then manually removed, especially where SIMBAD had included the double star notation due to them being categorised as double stars as happenstance to their nature, for example eclipsing binaries and other classes of extrinsic variables. This resulted in a master list of approximately 4,500 double stars remaining.

These objects had originally appeared in data included within professional publications which as a matter of course have been imported into SIMBAD over the years irrespective of their inclusion in the WDS as double stars. Sampling representative objects from the final shortlist for each SIMBAD name category showed that many were indeed likely double stars but of the cataclysmic variable, young stellar object (usually from infrared surveys), astrometric, spectroscopic, interferometric and many other kinds. The catalogues that remained after this filtering primarily turned out to be proper motion surveys of one form or another or catalogues of objects likely containing some high proper motion objects as a consequence of the survey, for instance white or red dwarf candidates. Some surveys, relatively small in size and of some vintage, presented only one to at most a few objects, whilst others were from larger lists with these larger lists often already having representative objects in the WDS.

The smaller small numbers of candidate cases were examined individually and were manually cross-matched against a local subset copy of GAIA Data Release 2 (DR2) from which their duplicity was examined. Both objects in the pair had to have data listed for proper motions and parallax to allow intercomparison given that the large proper motions of these objects is likely to take them close to faint field stars. If the secondary had no such data despite an adjacent to very adjacent object being available from the GAIA data the pair was not included. The GAIA broadband magnitudes and their relative positions for epoch 2015.5 were derived from GAIA DR2, the identifiers and Epoch and Equinox J2000 coordinates were retained from the SIMBAD data and finally both SIMBAD and LAMOST data release 5 examined to see if any stars had a spectral classification. These objects were also individually double checked against the WDS in case they were still present there in some form or another, either having been missed by the CDS X-Match service due to poor original positional astronomy or were alternatively included in the Washington Double Star Supplement (henceforth WDSS ${ }^{5}$ ) instead.

The final larger candidate list was somewhat sizeable, consisting of 730 pairs from only a handful of surveys that already have, often many, representative objects in the WDS. They are left to be dealt with in a potential future paper.

## Results

Twenty three of the objects are below in Table 1. Note that for similar brightness pairs the more red-biassed GAIA magnitude may not give the same component as the brightest of the pair as Johnson $V$ band or a visual inspection would.

It is unclear how these earlier surveys were aware of the double nature of these objects as often the high resolution of the GAIA DR2 data is the only thing splitting the pair, with many being very small separation pairs as well as with very faint companion stars, this being especially the case as it contains a sizeable fraction of known white dwarfs which are not only faint but sometimes the brighter primary! Only a handful are probably true visual doubles in a practical observational terms as some are likely impossible with even the best observing equipment and a good site, however for some their close distance and small separation give the potential for relative motion over comparatively short timescales if there is any orbital motion. Nevertheless none of the GAIA DR2 proper motion data for each star in a pair were sufficiently different enough to meaningfully exceed errors and thus reveal a hint of any such relative motion, albeit GAIA DR2 having a relatively short temporal baseline.

Notes on particular individual objects of interest follow, the data are in Table 1 below.

MCT 0134-4042 Thought to be a PG 1159 star (a star forming a proto-Planetary Nebula, that is, an old star that is evolving into a white dwarf central star and planetary nebula, not a protoplanetary nebula, which is a protoplanet-forming nebula surrounding a young star).

LSR J0254 +3419 A very high motion pair moving south east at nearly an arcsecond per year.
Ross 698 This close pair turned out to be indirectly noted in WDS as they constitute the secondary star of LDS 414. That is, Ross 698 is LDS 414 Ba,Bb, with LDS 414 being a common proper motion triple rather than just an AB pair as listed in WDS. At the roughly 100 parsec distance this gives the secondary pair a projected separation of 90 to 100 Astronomical Units whilst the projected separation of LDS 414 A from the pair approaches 8000 Astronomical Units. RAVE DR5 ${ }^{6}$ also gives comparable heliocentric radial velocities for the A star and the combined $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Bb}$ pair of around $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively.

NLTT 33054 Simply taking the average parallax of the pair from GAIA DR2 data and reciprocalising it gives a distance of 47 parsecs and at $1^{\prime \prime} .27$ separation a current projected separation of roughly 60 Astronomical Units, or twice the mean Sun - Neptune distance.

Wolf $925 \mathrm{ABC} \quad$ Examination of the field revealed a third, much fainter, comes with good agreement in both proper motion and parallax according to GAIA DR2 data. Simply reciprocalising the mean GAIA DR2 parallax for these three stars gives a distance of approximately 56 parsecs to this common proper motion trinary. This gives projected separations of around 50 Astronomical Units and 710 Astronomical Units for the AB and AC pairs respectively, rounding to the nearest 10 Astronomical Units. SIMBAD notes the primary pair are of spectral class M4 with the $J-K$ colours in rough agreement with that such that the magnitude difference with the tertiary comes would suggest it was a brown dwarf. However if the primary of the $A B$ pair was instead a mid-to-late K star then the six magnitude difference would also be appropriate for the tertiary being a late M dwarf or subdwarf.

Wolf 966 With a distance of approximately 53 parsecs from reciprocalised mean parallax the projected separation of this pair would be around 53 Astronomical Units, given the angular separation.

Wolf 1154 The nearest system in the list with a distance of 31 parsecs from the reciprocalised mean of the GAIA DR2 parallaxes for the stars. This gives a current projected separation of approximately 40 Astronomical Units, roughly the same as the semimajor
axis of Pluto's orbit. The spectral type denotes emission lines from this red dwarf pair, usually suggestive of chromospheric and coronal activity due to a relatively strong magnetic fields. For red dwarfs this purportedly suggests a younger rather than an older red dwarf as convective stars are supposed to reduce their rotation rate with age due to frictional and/or magnetic drag sufficient for their rotation to eventually become too slow to enable a dynamo generated magnetic field and thus any significant chromospheric activity.

Table 1: The ID, position and spectrum are from SIMBAD (with some of the latter supplemented by LAMOST DR5), the position angle, separation and magnitudes are derived or taken from GAIA DR2 data

| SIMBAD | RA J2000 $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | Dec J2000 $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & G \mathrm{mag} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & G \mathrm{mag} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spec } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flo 384 | 14.85642 | -74.61142 | 203.3 | 1.96 | 11.07 | 14.01 | F5 |  |
| SA 4-368 | 22.74754 | $+30.98078$ | 2.5 | 1.41 | 14.37 | 16.32 | M0 |  |
| MCT 0134-4042 | 24.20051 | -40.45933 | 357.4 | 0.61 | 16.37 | 16.77 | DA1.5 |  |
| LSR J0254 +3419 | 43.68441 | $+34.31707$ | 349.1 | 1.01 | 19.40 | 20.11 | - |  |
| HG 7-19 | 57.35310 | +10.71121 | 123.6 | 1.10 | 11.41 | 12.21 | - |  |
| HG 7-170 | 65.08296 | $+17.51525$ | 184.7 | 0.83 | 12.30 | 12.93 | G7 |  |
| LB 216 | 66.67378 | +14.20433 | 168.3 | 1.03 | 17.87 | 18.94 | DA2.6 |  |
| US 1158 | 149.76062 | $+45.18624$ | 290.9 | 0.71 | 18.94 | 19.72 | DA + DB |  |
| GD 137 | 170.90301 | $+23.88796$ | 207.9 | 0.77 | 16.54 | 16.78 | $\mathrm{DA}+\mathrm{M}$ |  |
| Ruiz 440-128 | 177.17088 | -29.26073 | 44.0 | 0.95 | 12.81 | 15.18 | - |  |
| Ross 698 | 189.31929 | -17.06311 | 34.3 | 0.92 | 12.45 | 12.47 | - | LDS 414B |
| CE 262 | 190.17475 | $-34.91233$ | 109.2 | 1.31 | 15.36 | 15.68 | M3.5 |  |
| PB 4428 | 195.08590 | $+3.46759$ | 44.9 | 0.71 | 17.42 | 18.61 | DA +dM |  |
| NLTT 33054 | 197.31138 | +1.41936 | 6.5 | 1.27 | 13.04 | 13.95 | - |  |
| Ross 1025 | 209.23067 | $+25.47519$ | 46.9 | 1.42 | 12.30 | 15.10 | G4 |  |
| WRAY 15-1160 | 210.10657 | -56.75335 | 286.6 | 1.13 | 12.16 | 13.95 | - |  |
| LTT 5505 | 212.25167 | -77.55239 | 242.0 | 1.77 | 14.29 | 14.64 |  |  |
| PG 1502+351 | 226.01244 | $+34.91132$ | 243.3 | 0.56 | 16.03 | 17.47 | DA2.7 |  |
| [LHJ2007] | 242.61015 | -22.78473 | 181.5 | 1.26 | 15.69 | 17.97 | M2.5 | J161026.46-224705.1 |
| Wolf 925 AB | 323.66021 | +48.21497 | 81.0 | 0.92 | 13.12 | 13.49 | M4 |  |
| Wolf 925 AC | 323.66021 | $+48.21497$ | 36.2 | 12.63 | 13.12 | 19.42 | - |  |
| Wolf 966 | 330.28590 | +49.01967 | 198.2 | 0.99 | 11.53 | 11.54 | - |  |
| Wolf 1154 | 330.30823 | $+32.38691$ | 235.9 | 1.28 | 11.44 | 12.89 | M1.5Ve |  |
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## A NEW COMMON PROPER MOTION PAIR IN LEO

Abdul Ahad, Luton, Bedfordshire
E-mail: ahad8307@gmail.com


#### Abstract

In this paper, I report a new visual double star in Leo that is not listed in the Washington Double Star Catalogue. Upon analysis of the astrophysical parameters, proper motions and distances of the component stars, it seems that this is probably not a physical pair but originally members of a moving group.




Figure 1: Field drawing made by the author using his $4.75^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{f} / 8.33$ refractor at a magnification of $\times 100$.

## Introduction

Using the search method and selection criteria I had first outlined going back to years prior to the launch of the Gaia astrometry mission, this double star first came to my attention in the autumn of 2015. My method entailed scrutinizing the apparent brightnesses, colours and proper motions of each component star in the pair in order to make deductions as to the likelihood of it being a true binary system as opposed to merely being a line of sight optical double. I later observed and sketched this pair at approximately 05:00 UT on the morning of 2015 October 23. The two stars appeared finely separated in the eyepiece, of virtually identical brightness and situated
approximately 11.7 arc-minutes to the north west of 34 Leonis at J2000 ICRS: 10h 11m 00s. 3 $+13^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} .2$ (Figure 1).

The SIMBAD database ${ }^{1}$ provided data for only one component in this pair bearing the Tycho designation TYC 836-1526-1 and of $V$ magnitude 10.12.

## Measurements and Analysis

At the time of my observations in 2015, a number of catalogues were consulted which showed a broad similarity in proper motions between the two component stars for the pair to qualify as a bona-fide 'common proper motion' binary. However, the $(B-V)$ and $(J-K)$ colour indices taken together did not provide a satisfactory fit to establish precisely where the two stars were likely to be situated on the H-R diagram, resulting in considerable uncertainties as to their luminosity class and likely distance. I had communicated these difficulties to William Hartkopf at the USNO.

Furthermore there was no clear consistency across independently compiled catalogues, such as UCAC2, NOMAD, PPMXL, UCAC4, the Guide Star Catalogue, etc to determine which component in the pair was in fact the brighter one (i.e. the primary) and which of them was the fainter secondary when measured in the $V$-band. The situation was further complicated by the presence of an X-ray source located a mere 12 arc-seconds to the east of the binary in the sky, which meant the SIMBAD database would show data for only one component in the pair plus the X-ray source, 1RXS J101100.6+132820.

Gaia DR2 ${ }^{2}$ indeed confirms the two stars to be sharing similar proper motions in both RA and Dec, as shown in Table 1:

## Table 1: G-magnitudes and Proper Motion of Components

|  | $G$ | $\mu_{\alpha}$ <br> mas yr $^{-1}$ | Error <br> mas yr $^{-1}$ | $\mu_{\delta}$ <br> mas yr $^{-1}$ | Error <br> mas yr $^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary | 9.91 | -7.06 | $\pm 0.43$ | +30.77 | $\pm 0.48$ |
| Companion | 10.01 | -12.49 | $\pm 0.08$ | +30.46 | $\pm 0.09$ |

(Note: To establish a basis for measurements, I have taken the rightmost star to be the brighter primary (A-component) in the pair and the leftmost to be the fainter companion.)

By differencing ICRS coordinates I obtain P.A. $118^{\circ} .2$ and Sep $14^{\prime \prime} .65$ (2020.0)
Gaia DR2 provides parallax data for both components as $16.4255 \pm 0.2562$ and $14.2737 \pm$ 0.0484 mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, indicating distances of $198.6 \pm 3.0$ and $228.5 \pm 0.8$ light-years, respectively. Radial velocities are also found to be broadly similar and stated as $-5.66 \pm 2.29$ and $-3.53 \pm$ $0.26 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The parallax error for the primary is larger than expected and could be due to duplicity. In any event, the parallax discrepancy would appear to suggest the two components would be separated by $\sim 30$ light-years ( $\sim 9.2$ parsecs) along the observer's line of sight.

Using the distance modulus formulae I had first stated in DSSC $18^{3}$, taking apparent $V$-mags of 10.21 and 10.25 in the PM2000 Bordeaux Proper Motion Catalogue ${ }^{4}$, we project absolute magnitudes of +6.3 and +6.0 for the A and B components. These in turn would suggest surface temperatures much cooler than that of the Sun and I have determined spectral types of roughly K3V and K1V ${ }^{5}$, which are certainly consistent with the deep yellow hues of the two stars we see in DSS colour images.

## Conclusions

In spite of a close angular separation of components in the plane of the sky and their common proper motions, the difference in Gaia parallax-measured distances argues against this being an orbital binary. The likelihood is that the two stars were probably once part of a moving group and are now isolated, but still sharing similar velocities in 3D space relative to our own particular vantage point.
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## CATALOG ACCESS AND NEW LISTS OF NEGLECTED DOUBLES

Brian Mason, United States Naval Observatory, 3450 Massachussetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC,20392-5420, USA

E-mail: brian.d.mason@navy.mil
The availability of large astrometric catalogs and the admirable acumen of users has led to the republishing of the same measures and identification of the same"new" systems by multiple data-miners. This has significantly increased the amount of work needed to properly incorporate these data into the USNO double star catalogs. Therefore, in the future, data mining results will be added to the WDS and WDSS at the discretion of the catalogers. Furthermore, preference will be given to data prepared by those specifically associated with the original catalog project.

## Catalog Access

The US Naval Observatory Websites are undergoing modernization and will be offline starting Thursday, 24 October 2019. The expected completion of work and return of service is estimated as 30 April $2020 *$. Until that time, the only access to double star catalogs will be via our website mirrors:

- The Washington Double Star Catalog : http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/
- Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars:
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/orb6.html
- item Second Catalog of Rectilinear Elements :
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/lin2.html
- Fourth Catalog of Interferometric Measurements of Binary Stars :
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/int4.html
- The Third Photometric Magnitude Difference Catalog :
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/dm3.html
- IAU Commission G1 (Binary and Multiple Stars) webpage :
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/bsl/
- Double Star Astronomy at the U.S. Naval Observatory :
http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/ds_history.html


## Growth of the WDS and Data Mining

The availability of large astrometric catalogs and the admirable acumen of users has led to the republishing of the same measures and identification of the same "new" systems by multiple dataminers. This has significantly increased the amount of work needed to properly incorporate these data into the USNO double star catalogs. Therefore, in the future, data mining results will be added to the Washington Double Star (WDS) and Washington Double Star Supplement (WDSS) Catalogs at the discretion of the catalogers. Furthermore, preference will be given to data prepared by those specifically associated with the original catalog project.

As can be seen in the figure below, the WDS and the other catalogs we maintain are being added to at a prodigious rate. A great deal of this work is coming from data mining, most recently from Gaia (DR2). While this can be useful, it is always there to be mined and based on some private discussions it is possible that the best and final Gaia astrometric solution will not be producted until DR4 or later, so to avoid current data mining efforts being eventually superseded and replaced, data mining of Gaia results is not recommended at this time.


Figure 2: Growth of the WDS. The solid blue line and dots indicates the number of mean positions in the WDS, indicated on the left margin, at certain key dates. Indicated are publication of the IDS (1961), the major WDS data releases (1984, 1996, 2001, 2006.5), more recent dates corresponding to IAU General Assemblies (2009.5, 2012.5, 2015.5, 2018.5) and now (2019.75). The dashed blue line and open circles indicates the number of systems on those same dates and is indicated on the right margin. The solid/dashed red lines and filled/open red dotes indicates growth of the new WDS Supplement at inception and later (2017.5, 2018.0, 2019.41, 2019.75), on the same scales as the WDS plots.

## What Needs to be Done?

Observe. Actual observations cannot be replicated. The observations you make tonight cannot be made tomorrow night or next week. Due to the slow motion of many of the pairs in the WDS and WDSS, to first order, the claim is absurd: the motion of most known visual pairs are insignificant and well below the measurement error on consecutive nights. However, it does get to the crux of the issue: your observations are a unique dataset which cannot be replicated.

As a result, lists have been generated of pairs which need to be observed. These lists include pairs which either are unconfirmed or pairs which have not been measured in many years ("many" set arbitrarily at 20 years). In the initial formulation two lists have been generated:
-https://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/Webtextfiles/neglected_list1.txt: List 1 : Unconfirmed or (date - last) $>20$ yrs., Va $<12$, No X or K code systems.
-https://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/Webtextfiles/neglected_list2.txt: List 2 : as above, but no magnitude restrictions.

The above lists are in WDS summary line format and are also available at the WDS mirror website at the weblinks below. These files will be automatically updated from the WDS as new observations and systems are added. The update of the lists will occur at least monthly, but may occur more often.

- http://www.astro.gsu.edu/wds/Webtextfiles/neglected_list1.txt
- http://www. astro.gsu.edu/wds/Webtextfiles/neglected_list2.txt

For these neglected pairs, even a non-detection can be useful if your observing capability is much greater than the parameters of the pair in question. For the neglected pairs where (date - last) is a very large number, the pair may be lost or miscatalogued, and it may involve detective work or the perusal of old articles. This type of investigative work may be found especially appealing.

Good observing!

* Note added in proof. This work is still ongoing and is expected to be completed later in 2020.


# ASTRONOMICAL ASSOCIATION OF QUEENSLAND 2018 PROGRAMME: BLUE STAR OBSERVATORY MEASUREMENT OF 16 NEGLECTED SOUTHERN MULTIPLE STARS 

Peter N. Culshaw, Diane Hughes, John Hughes, Des Janke, Graeme Jenkinson, Astronomical Association of Queensland, Australia.

E-mail: bluestars@iprimus.com.au

## Summary

This paper presents further results of a 2018 programme of photographic measurements of sixteen southern multiple stars. All results were obtained using an Atik 460EX mono CCD camera used in conjunction with an equatorially mounted $400-\mathrm{mm}$ F4.5 Newtonian reflector. Fellow AAQ members Culshaw, Hughes and Hughes provided invaluable assistance with image processing using Losse's REDUC software.

| System |  | Last listed measure |  |  |  | New measure |  | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep." | Epoch | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep." | Epoch* |  |
| BRT2021AB | Hor | 23.0 | 14.6 | 2015 | 23.36 | 14.84 | 2018.886 | Possible minor increases |
| RST4223 | Eri | 231.0 | 15.9 | 1969 | 231.0 | 15.18 | 2018.877 | Possible decrease in sep. |
| RST4229AB | Eri | 235.0 | 2.8 | 1949 | 231.9 | 2.96 | 2018.875 | Movement in PA only |
| DAM832AC | Eri | 24.0 | 20.9 | 2015 | 23.7 | 20.82 | 2018.883 | No probable movement |
| HDS496AB | Eri | 88.0 | 3.9 | 1991 | 343.3 | 18.55 | 2018.883 | Large changes in both axes |
| AG76 | Tau | 14.0 | 6.3 | 1910 | 19.0 | 20.38 | 2018.883 | Clear increase in both axes |
| RSS99 | Pic | 219.0 | 8.0 | 2015 | 218.6 | 7.90 | 2018.998 | Little probable movement |
| BRT1594 | CMa | 355.0 | 2.8 | 2015 | 355.0 | 2.74 | 2019.009 | No probable movement |
| B1519 | CMa | 75.0 | 3.0 | 1932 | 72.2 | 3.25 | 2018.056 | Definite change in PA |
| I 1572 | Cen | 129.0 | 2.6 | 1938 | 187.8 | 10.27 | 2018.368 | Considerable change |
| BRT3058 | Sgr | N/A | N/ | N/A | 36.7 | 5.85 | 2018.541 | New "C" component? |
| B374 | Sgr | 173.0 | 3.3 | 1927 | 158.7 | 3.68 | 2018.538 | Definite change in PA |
| BRT1769 | Sgr | 331.0 | 2.4 | 1914 | 318.4 | 1.78 | 2018.532 | Clear decrease in both axes |
| HU80 | Cap | 0.03 | 2.3 | 1960 | 6.4 | 2.50 | 2018.625 | Consistent increase in PA |
| SEE454 | Cap | 212.0 | 5.2 | 1973 | 207.7 | 5.13 | 2018.702 | Definite change in PA |
| B1398 | PsA | 151 | 3.9 | 2015 | 153.8 | 3.75 | 2018.677 | Possible increase in PA |
| * Epochs of new measures given in Besselian years as the average of the observations making up the measure. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The mean $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the new measures were $\pm 1^{\circ} .143$ in PA and $\pm 0^{\prime \prime} .142$ in separation. The results were as follows:

## Introduction

These latest results are part of an ongoing programme commenced in 2008 by the Double Star Section of the Astronomical Association of Queensland. The target stars were selected from the Washington Double Star Catalogue (WDSC) and were observed in Queensland, Australia from a latitude of approximately $27^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.

## Method

Sets of images were obtained with the equipment described above, the images were stacked using Atik DAWN software and then analysed using the astrometric double star program REDUC (Losse, 2008). Approximately ten stacked images of each target were taken per night for seven nights and the results averaged to obtain measures of separation and position angle with sufficient confidence.

Full details of the method are given in Napier-Munn and Jenkinson (2009). Some recent work on the errors inherent in the method is described in Napier-Munn and Jenkinson (2014). As proficiency has grown in the use of this equipment with the $400-\mathrm{mm}$ reflector, close doubles with considerable magnitude difference between the components have been successfully measured.

## Results

For all of the systems shown below the WDSC information is first reproduced, showing the epoch 2000 position, magnitudes, separation, PA, and the last recorded measurement. The new measurements are then given in tabular form, including the mean and standard deviation and $95 \%$ confidence limits. Any uncertainties between the images and the last recorded measurements are discussed. Finally a conclusion is given as to whether any movement of the component stars has occurred in PA or separation, based on the P -value for the t-test comparing the new mean values with the catalogued value ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ is considered as evidence of change).

A possible new ' C ' component for BRT3058 Sagittarius that has been imaged and measured awaits verification. Of the remaining pairs all except the four last measured in 2015 show clear movement in at least one axis.

HDS496AB shows a very large change in both axes since the last 1991 measure. With no other likely B component visible in the FOV, further investigation may be required.

The mean $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the new measures were $1^{\circ} .143$ in PA and $0^{\prime \prime} .142$ in separation. The results were as follows:


Figure 1: BRT 2021 in Horologium

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 02 November 2018 | 10 | 23.45 | 14.854 |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 23.06 | 14.834 |
| 19 November 2018 | 10 | 23.48 | 14.869 |
| 01 December 2018 | 10 | 23.47 | 14.833 |
| 09 December 2018 | 10 | 23.44 | 14.853 |
| 10 December 2018 | 10 | 23.44 | 14.828 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 23.20 | 14.816 |
| Mean |  | 23.363 | 14.841 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.165 | 0.018 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.152 | 0.017 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.001 | 0.000 |

Table 2: Individual measures of BRT 2021
BRT2021AB Horologium RA: 03 02.1 DEC: -54 18 Last Measure: 2015 MAG.11.8 \& 12.6 PA. $23^{\circ}$ SEP. $14^{\prime \prime} .6$

COMMENTS: Possible minor increases in both axes consistent with previous measures.


Figure 2: RST 4223 in Eridanus

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 November 2018 | 10 | 231.23 | 15.183 |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 230.81 | 15.196 |
| 30 November 2018 | 10 | 230.62 | 15.119 |
| 1 December 2018 | 10 | 231.56 | 15.122 |
| 9 December 2018 | 10 | 231.13 | 15.204 |
| 10 December 2018 | 10 | 230.79 | 15.198 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 231.03 | 15.276 |
| Mean |  | 231.024 | 15.185 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.317 | 0.054 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.294 | 0.050 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.846 | 0.000 |

Table 3: Individual measures of RST 4223
RST4223 Eridanus RA: 03 06.6 DEC: -6 05 Last Measure: 1969 MAG. $5.60 \& 12.80$ PA. $231^{\circ} .0$ SEP. $15^{\prime \prime} .9$

COMMENTS: Possible minor decrease in separation since 1969.


Figure 3: RST 4229AB in Eridanus

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sep}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 230.23 | 3.086 |
| 19 November 2018 | 10 | 232.17 | 2.858 |
| 1 December 2018 | 10 | 231.14 | 3.053 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 234.19 | 2.849 |
| Mean |  | 231.933 | 2.962 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.701 | 0.125 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 2.707 | 0.200 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.037 | 0.082 |

Table 4: Individual measures of RST 4229AB
RST4229AB Eridanus RA: 03 33.2 DEC: -4 37 Last Measure: 1949 MAG. $9.93 \& 12.10$ PA. $235^{\circ} .0$ SEP. $2^{\prime \prime} .8$

COMMENTS: Reduction computed over four nights data as above, poor quality images the other three nights. Movement evident in PA only.


Figure 4: DAM 832 AC in Eridanus

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 23.98 | 20.800 |
| 19 November 2018 | 10 | 24.15 | 20.635 |
| 30 November 2018 | 10 | 23.64 | 20.859 |
| 01 December 2018 | 10 | 23.87 | 20.878 |
| 09 December 2018 | 10 | 23.70 | 20.870 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 23.70 | 20.811 |
| 18 December 2018 | 10 | 23.46 | 20.896 |
| Mean |  | 23.786 | 20.821 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.231 | 0.089 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.213 | 0.083 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 5: Individual measures of DAM 832 AC
DAM832AC Eridanus RA: 03 56.0 DEC: -29 49 Last Measure: 2015 MAG.9.14 \& 10.5 PA $24^{\circ}$ SEP. $20^{\prime \prime} .9$

COMMENTS: No defined movement evident.


Figure 5: HDS 496AB in Eridanus

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 343.45 | 18.547 |
| 19 November 2018 | 10 | 343.15 | 18.45 |
| 30 November 2018 | 10 | 343.76 | 18.428 |
| 1 December 2018 | 10 | 343.45 | 18.504 |
| 9 December 2018 | 10 | 343.31 | 18.652 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 343.08 | 18.546 |
| 18 December 2018 | 10 | 343.21 | 18.75 |
| Mean |  | 343.344 | 18.554 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.232 | 0.114 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.214 | 0.105 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 6: Individual measures of HDS 496AB

HDS496AB Eridanus RA: 0356.0 DEC: -29 49 Last Measure: 1991 MAG. 9.14 \& 13.03 PA $88^{\circ}$ SEP. $3^{\prime \prime} .9$

COMMENTS: Such large changes in both axes seem unlikely, possible incorrect identification of ' $B$ ' component. Requires further investigation.


Figure 6: AG 76 in Taurus

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 November 2018 | 10 | 19.28 | 20.368 |
| 30 November 2018 | 10 | 19.05 | 20.422 |
| 1 December 2018 | 10 | 19.00 | 20.329 |
| 9 December 2018 | 10 | 19.02 | 20.331 |
| 10 December 2018 | 10 | 19.00 | 20.381 |
| 11 December 2018 | 10 | 19.00 | 20.366 |
| 19 December 2018 | 10 | 18.96 | 20.438 |
| Mean |  | 19.044 | 20.376 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.107 | 0.042 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.099 | 0.038 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 7: Individual measures of AG 76
AG76 Taurus RA: 0359.6 DEC: +241 Last Measure: 1910 MAG. 8.9 \& 9.1 PA: $14^{\circ} .0$ SEP: $6^{\prime \prime} .3$

COMMENTS: Definite increases in both axes over 109 years.


Figure 7: RSS 99 in Pictor

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sep}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 28 December 2018 | 10 | 218.91 | 8.044 |
| 29 December 2018 | 10 | 218.58 | 8.119 |
| 30 December 2018 | 10 | 218.98 | 7.938 |
| 31 December 2018 | 10 | 218.19 | 7.919 |
| 01 January 2019 | 10 | 219.16 | 7.732 |
| 02 January 2019 | 10 | 217.84 | 7.708 |
| 04-January 2019 | 10 | 218.94 | 7.834 |
| Mean |  | 218.657 | 7.899 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.482 | 0.153 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.446 | 0.141 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.109 | 0.131 |

Table 8: Individual measures of RSS 99
RSS99 RA: 0608.3 DEC: -52 32 Last Measure: 2015 Pictor MAG. 8.90 \& 15.00 PA: $219.0^{\circ}$ SEP: $8^{\prime \prime} .0$

COMMENTS: Little probable movement since 2015 measure.


Figure 8: BRT 1594 in Canis Major

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sep}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 28-December-2018 | 10 | 355.2 | 2.63 |
| 29-December-2018 | 10 | 354.74 | 2.888 |
| 30-December-2018 | 10 | 356.04 | 2.649 |
| 31-December-2018 | 10 | 356.79 | 2.748 |
| 02-January-2019 | 10 | 356.07 | 2.936 |
| 04-January-2019 | 10 | 353.03 | 2.628 |
| 11-January-2019 | 10 | 353.7 | 2.716 |
| Mean |  | 355.081 | 2.742 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.358 | 0.125 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.256 | 0.116 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.879 | 0.267 |

Table 9: Individual measures of BRT 1594

BRT1594 RA. 06 24.5 DEC. -32 24 Last Measure: 2015 Canis Major MAG. 11.00 \& 12.50 PA: $355^{\circ}$ SEP: $2^{\prime \prime} .8$

COMMENTS: No apparent movement.


Figure 9: B 1519 in Canis Major

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sep}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 January 2018 | 10 | 70.43 | 3.272 |
| 17 January 2018 | 10 | 73.37 | 3.387 |
| 19 January 2018 | 10 | 74.09 | 3.021 |
| 22 January 2018 | 10 | 71.42 | 3.094 |
| 26 January 2018 | 10 | 71.99 | 3.485 |
| Mean |  | 72.260 | 3.252 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.475 | 0.194 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.832 | 0.241 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 10: Individual measures of B 1519

B1519 Canis Major RA. 0647.2 DEC. - 3057 Last Measure: 1932 MAG. 7.93 \& 13.5 PA: $75^{\circ}$ SEP: $3^{\prime \prime} .0$

COMMENTS: Definite movement in PA. Image acquisition limited to five nights only.


Figure 10: I 1572 in Centaurus

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 09 May 2018 | 10 | 187.82 | 10.355 |
| 11 May 2018 | 10 | 187.77 | 10.289 |
| 13 May 2018 | 10 | 187.72 | 10.238 |
| 15 May 2018 | 10 | 187.8 | 10.358 |
| 16 May 2018 | 10 | 187.99 | 10.242 |
| 20 May 2018 | 10 | 188.26 | 10.214 |
| 21 May 2018 | 10 | 187.53 | 10.194 |
| Mean |  | 187.841 | 10.270 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.230 | 0.066 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.212 | 0.061 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

I 1572 Centaurus RA: 1356.2 DEC: -3353 Last Measure: 1938 MAG. 8.6 \& 13.4 PA: $129^{\circ}$ SEP: $2^{\prime \prime} .6$

COMMENTS Considerable changes over the last 80 years.


Figure 11: BRT 3058 in Sagittarius

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 July 2018 | 10 | 36.51 | 5.797 |
| 14 July 2018 | 10 | 35.87 | 6.004 |
| 15 July 2018 | 10 | 36.22 | 5.847 |
| 16 July 2018 | 10 | 37.94 | 5.805 |
| 17 July 2018 | 10 | 36.69 | 5.783 |
| 18 July 2018 | 10 | 37.91 | 5.761 |
| 22 July 2018 | 10 | 36.38 | 5.949 |
| Mean |  | 36.789 | 5.849 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.817 | 0.092 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.756 | 0.085 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 12: Individual measures of BRT 3058
BRT3058 Sagittarius RA: 18 04.2 DEC: -28 46 Last Measure: n/a MAG. n/a \& n/a PA: n/a SEP: n/a

COMMENTS: Possible new 'C' component not previously recorded.


Figure 12: B 374 in Sagittarius

| Date | No. images | $\left.\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 July 2018 | 10 | 158.68 | 3.622 |
| 14 July 2018 | 10 | 157.10 | 3.627 |
| 15 July 2018 | 10 | 159.18 | 3.417 |
| 16 July 2018 | 10 | 157.95 | 4.069 |
| 17 July 2018 | 10 | 158.32 | 3.478 |
| 18 July 2018 | 10 | 161.37 | 3.431 |
| 22 July 2018 | 10 | 160.95 | 3.848 |
| Mean |  | 158.697 | 3.677 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.308 | 0.243 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.373 | 0.255 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 13: Individual measures of B 374
B374 Sagittarius RA: 18 05.3 DEC: -28 41 Last Measure:: 1927 MAG. 8.47 \& 15.3 PA: $173^{\circ}$ SEP: $3^{\prime \prime} .3$

COMMENTS: Clear movement in PA only.


Figure 13: BRT 1765 in Sagittarius

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sep}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 04 July 2018 | 10 | 325.43 | 1.476 |
| 14 July 2018 | 10 | 318.14 | 1.51 |
| 15 July 2018 | 10 | 314.78 | 1.97 |
| 16 July 2018 | 10 | 318.68 | 1.972 |
| 17 July 2018 | 10 | 314.91 | 1.606 |
| 18 July 2018 | 10 | 316.83 | 2.00 |
| 22 July 2018 | 10 | 320.6 | 1.929 |
| Mean |  | 318.481 | 1.780 |
| Standard deviation |  | 3.699 | 0.238 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 3.421 | 0.220 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 14: Individual measures of BRT 1765
BRT1765 Sagittarius RA: 18 16.4 DEC: -32 57 Last Measure:: 1914 MAG. 13.0 \& 13.0 PA: $331^{\circ}$ SEP: $2^{\prime \prime} .4$

COMMENTS: Clear decreases in both axes over the last 104 years.


Figure 14: HU 80 in Capricornus

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 August 2018 | 10 | 6.37 | 2.183 |
| 08 August 2018 | 10 | 7.91 | 2.478 |
| 10 August 2018 | 10 | 5.07 | 2.459 |
| 13 August 2018 | 10 | 5.4 | 2.541 |
| 14 August 2018 | 10 | 5.43 | 2.495 |
| 15 August 2018 | 10 | 6.18 | 2.595 |
| 02 September 2018 | 10 | 8.55 | 2.768 |
| Mean |  | 6.416 | 2.503 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.333 | 0.176 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.233 | 0.162 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 15: Individual measures of HU 80
HU80 Capricorn RA: 20 09.3 DEC: -19 25 Last Measure: 1960 MAG. $8.89 \& 10.6$ PA: $3^{\circ}$ SEP. $2^{\prime \prime} .3$

COMMENTS: Increase in PA is consistent with previous measures taken since 1897. Little change in separation over the same period.


Figure 15: SEE 454 in Capricorn

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 01 September 2018 | 10 | 206.58 | 5.006 |
| 02 September 2018 | 10 | 207.4 | 5.352 |
| 08 September 2018 | 10 | 205.57 | 5.052 |
| 16 September 2018 | 10 | 209.94 | 5.189 |
| 18 September 2018 | 10 | 208.45 | 5.314 |
| 22 September 2018 | 10 | 207.62 | 5.06 |
| 28 September 2018 | 10 | 208.48 | 4.968 |
| Mean |  | 207.720 | 5.134 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.419 | 0.152 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.312 | 0.141 |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.000 | 0.298 |

Table 16: Individual measures of SEE 454
SEE454 Capricorn RA: 2142.0 DEC: -23 16 Last Measure: 1973 MAG. 5.35 \& 11.2 PA: $212^{\circ}$ SEP: $5^{\prime \prime} .2$

COMMENTS: Definite decrease in PA since 1973.


Figure 16: B 1398 in Piscis Austrinus

| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Sep $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 August 2018 | 10 | 154.13 | 3.335 |
| 08 September 2018 | 10 | 156.77 | 4.375 |
| 18 September 2018 | 10 | 153.97 | 3.689 |
| 22 September 2018 | 10 | 155.45 | 4.011 |
| 23 September 2018 | 10 | 151.63 | 3.540 |
| 28 September 2018 | 10 | 153.20 | 3.576 |
| 01 October 2018 | 10 | 151.50 | 3.744 |
| Mean |  | 153.81 | 3.753 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.917 | 0.344 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 1.773 | 0.318 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.008 | 0.301 |

Table 17: Individual measures of B 1398
B1398 Piscis Austrinus RA: 22 19.3 DEC: -31 42 Last Measure: 2015 MAG. 9.2 \& 14.1 PA: $151^{\circ}$ SEP. $3^{\prime \prime} .9$

COMMENTS: Possible movement in PA only.
Please note that all attached images are aligned with North to the bottom and East to the right.
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# OBSERVATION OF NEGLECTED DOUBLE STARS 

André Debackère, Double Star Commission of the Société Astronomique de France
E-mail: andre.debackere@free.fr


#### Abstract

After reading Brian Mason's note that Bob Argyle gave me on October 30, 2019, I began to observe neglected couples in the two new lists provided by the WDS.


TDS 1237
WDS information: $\mathrm{RA}=00 \mathrm{~h} 00 \mathrm{~m} 17.81 \mathrm{~s}$, Dec. $=+60^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} .3$
One observation in 1991, $\theta=217^{\circ} .20, \rho=1^{\prime \prime} .770, V_{A}=11.98, V_{B}=12.17$

## Observation



Figure 1: Extract of field of view of Faulkes Telescope North (FTN)
Sampling of FTN images is $0^{\prime \prime} .3$ / pixel. TDS 1237 has a separation of $1^{\prime \prime} .770$ in 1991 which takes about 6 pixels.

One night at FTN on November 23th, 2019 in $V$ band TDS 1237 is seen as a single star with the FTN.

There is no image elongation of the target as you can see in the green window, we have a single star profile (see the yellow window).

## Position

The GAIA-DR2 catalog indicates only one star with coordinates :
RA(J2000) : 00h 00m 17s. 8153907950 Dec(J2000) : +602 $23^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} .972419337$
so either the coordinates given by the WDS are wrong (there is no double star at this separation in the entire $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ field) or the current separation is less than $1^{\prime \prime}$.

This observation requires a more suitable equipment.


Figure 2: Image open in REDUC software


Figure 3: Profile of the target in Reduc software

Photometry with Subaru Makali'I Image Processor
Four best images out of five images in $V$-band on Nov 23th, 2019, $V=12.310 \pm 0.006$

LDS 5265
WDS information: $\mathrm{RA}=00 \mathrm{~h} 13 \mathrm{~m} 34 \mathrm{~s} .16$, $\mathrm{Dec}=-32^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime} .2$
One observation in 1960: $\theta=111^{\circ} .00, \rho=3^{\prime \prime} .000, V_{A}=15.20, V_{B}=15.30$

## Observation



Figure 4: Extract of Faulkes Telescope South field of view
Sampling of FTS (Faulkes Telescope South) images is $0^{\prime \prime} .3 /$ pixel. LDS 5265 has a separation of $3^{\prime \prime} .000$ in 1960 which takes 10 pixels.

Two nights at FTS (five images in $V$ band on November 28th, 2019 and four images in $V$ band on December 17, 2019).


Figure 5: Profile of the target in Reduc software
There is no image elongation of the target as you can see in the green window, we have a single star profile (see the yellow window).

## Position

The GAIA-DR2 catalog indicates only one star with coordinates : RA(J2000) : 00h 13m 34s. 2752499096 Dec(J2000) : $-32^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} .679522009$
so either the coordinates given by the WDS are wrong (there is no double star at this separation in the entire $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ field) or the current separation is less than $1^{\prime \prime}$.

This observation requires more suitable equipment.

## Photometry with Subaru Makali's Image Processor

Three best images out of five images $V=15.707 \pm 0.031$ on Nov 28th, 2019
One best image out of four images $V=15.691 \pm 0.030$ on Dec 17th, 2019
Average $V=15.699 \pm 0.043$

## ARA 1257

WDS information: $\mathrm{RA}=01 \mathrm{~h} 50 \mathrm{~m} 32 \mathrm{~s} .88$, $\mathrm{Dec}=-20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} .9$

## Observation

One observation in $1920, \theta=249^{\circ} .90, \rho=6^{\prime \prime} .853 V_{A}=12.64 V_{B}=12.74$


Figure 6: FTS field of view

Sampling of FTS images is $0^{\prime \prime} .3 /$ pixel. ARA 1257 has a separation of $6^{\prime \prime} .853$ in 1920 as indicated in the WDS, which takes 23 pixels.


Figure 7: Image open in REDUC software
One night at FTS (five images in $V$ band on January 24th, 2020) ARA 1257 is clearly seen as a double star with the FTS but not with a separation of $6^{\prime \prime} .853$


Figure 8: Profile of the target in Reduc software
The elongation of the target is clearly seen in the green window, in the yellow window we can see a double star profile.


Figure 9: Profile of one star in REDUC software
There is no elongation for the other stars in the field which are seen as round, there is no shift of the telescope.

## Position

The GAIA-DR2 catalog indicates two stars with coordinates: The main component A: Gaia DR2 This star is exactly at the position indicated in the WDS:

| Gaia DR2 number | RA(J2000) | Dec(2000) | $G$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & (\mathrm{mas}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mu_{\alpha}$ <br> (mas <br> $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\delta} \\ & \left(\mathrm{mas}^{2}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 513613344976183782 | 01h 50 m 32 s .8525495203 | $-20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} .936334816$ | 13.1374 | 1.8592 | 12.706 | -3.189 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.2958 | 0.568 | 0.349 |
| 5136130494823631872 | 01h 50m 32s. 9274250764 | $-20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} .920021725$ | 13.4900 | 1.4904 | 11.123 | 0.201 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0503 | 0.176 | 0.444 |

Comp A J2000.0 RA=01h50m32.85s DE $=-20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$
Comp B J2000.0 RA=01h50m32.93s DE $=-20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$
$\theta=89.1^{\circ}$
$\rho=1.05^{\prime \prime}$

2020.067


Figure 10: Extract of image open in Reduc software, zoom x4

The separation of about $1^{\prime \prime}$ or less is too small to be measured with accuracy at FTS. Separation and position angle indicated in the WDS are wrong. It would be wise to measure this couple with suitable equipment.

## Photometry with Subaru Makali's Image Processor

Four best images out of five images in $V$ band on January 24th, 2020
Measurement of the overall magnitude in $V$ band of this pair: $V_{A B}=12.678 \pm 0.008$
ARA is the WDS observer code of Srinivas Aravamudan who observed at the Nizamiah Observatory located in Hyderabad, India.
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Abstract
The WDS catalog contains at June 2019 about 148,500 objects. About 50,000 of these come with a magnitude for the primary with single digit precision indicating rather an estimation than a precise measurement and over 16,000 objects are listed with magnitudes in the blue or red band (WDS note codes $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}$ ) thus in need of a measurement in the $V$ band. After eliminating all objects not suited for resolution with the tools currently available to me (too small angular separation, too faint, too bright) about 26,000 objects remained as targets of interest for this project. The selection criterion for the objects for a specific report is then at a given point of time simply the currently highest given altitude to eliminate atmospheric effects as far as possible - so this is then a more or less random selection out of the mentioned 26,000 objects. This report covers about 40 such objects (including some KPP objects also in need of photometry) with images taken May 2019 with $V$-filter to allow for visual magnitude measurement by differential photometry. This paper lists also a few WDSS objects as several newly detected likely physical pairs reported in Knapp ${ }^{3}$ were meanwhile included in the WDSS catalog. All objects were additionally checked for potential gravitational relationship using GAIA DR2 data for a Monte Carlo simulation of the spatial distance between the components of a pair. One single image was taken for all selected objects with iTelescope iT24 with $V$-filter and 20 seconds exposure time and the imaging conditions were overall quite favourable. The images were plate solved with Astrometrica using the URAT1 catalog with reference stars in the $V$ range of 8.5 to 16.5 giving not only RA/Dec coordinates but also photometry results for all reference stars used including an average $\epsilon_{V}$ error. The objects were then located in the center of the image and astrometry/photometry was then done by the rather comfortable Astrometrica procedure with point and click at the components delivering RA/Dec coordinates and $V$ magnitude measurements based on all reference stars used for plate solving.

## Introduction

Results of image processing
The measurement results are given in Table 1 below with the following structure：

## WDS／WDSS WDS／WDSS ID

WDS discoverer code（blank for WDSS objects） Components（AB if blank）
Positions for primary and secondary in HH：MM：SS．sss／DD．MM．SS．ss format Plate solving errors for RA and Dec in arcseconds Calculated separation in arcseconds
Separation error $\quad$－
Calculated position angle in degrees
Position angle error
$V$ mags for both components measured by differential photometry Magnitude errors
Signal to noise ratio for both components
Plate solving error in $V$ mag
Julian observation epoch
Notes Additional comments listed below Table 1
Table 1：Results for measured WDS objects

|  | $\stackrel{\ddot{\theta}}{\stackrel{\dot{\sigma}}{1}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{9} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangleleft$ | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Nob }}{\circ}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  －000000000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0$ | $\circ$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { d }}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sqrt{\circ}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ベ | ®． ®． ल． ल． | Oio |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{\mathbf{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{20}{\circ}$ |
| $s$ | O. | $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { íb }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\underset{O}{\circ}$ |  | $8$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®冂 }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\AA}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\oplus}$ |  |
| 4 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\circ$ | $\underset{O}{\text { O. }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $10$ |  |
| 8 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\circ$ | $\underset{O}{\text { O. }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\substack{\text { O }}}$ |
|  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{20}{7} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \substack{\infty \\ 0 \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{{\underset{O}{4}}_{0}^{\infty}}$ | $\frac{10}{5}$ | $$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{Ð}}{\substack{0 \\ \hline}}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\substack{\circ \\ \hline}}$ | $\frac{N}{N}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \substack{0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{H}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | Oి | $\stackrel{\substack{\mathrm{o} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{10}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{6} \\ & \underset{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{7}{7} \\ & \underset{7}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{~} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{-}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \dot{10} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\text { O}} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \underset{-}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { な゙ } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \substack{\infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text {. } \\ & \text { O. } \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\overbrace{}}{0} \\ & \underset{6}{6} \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ | 0 $\substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0}$ | 8 8 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \substack{\infty \\ \stackrel{1}{6} \\ 0 \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄷ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{-} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ 8 0 0 0 0 | İ $\stackrel{y}{3}$ $\stackrel{3}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 20 \\ 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 8 $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ | 0 0 6 10 10 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\dot{D}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \not+\infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\oplus} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{8}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \underset{O}{8} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N1 } \\ & \text { O. } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7 70 00 00 00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{10}{7} \\ & \underset{1}{7} \\ & \underset{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\ominus}{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{20}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{O}{0}$ | $\stackrel{8}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\odot}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\circ}$ | $\underset{O}{0}$ | $\stackrel{o}{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{20}$ | $\underset{0}{7}$ | $\stackrel{O}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\odot}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\underset{O}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{O}{0}$ | O. | O. | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{10}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\cong}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\circ}$ | $\underset{0}{8}$ | Ơ | $\stackrel{10}{0}$ | $\stackrel{N}{O}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\circ}$ | O. |







| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 20 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{6}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{8}{n} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \hdashline \end{aligned}$ | 8 2 2 2 2 | $\stackrel{9}{2}$ <br> $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ <br> $\stackrel{N}{2}$ <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  | $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{1}{+} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | 20 0 0 0 0 1 0 |  |  | $$ | 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  | 9 7 7 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{9}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\vec{C}$ <br> 0 <br> 10 <br>  <br> + <br> 1 <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & + \\ & \infty \\ & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 <br> 18 <br> 4 <br> 4 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 20 <br>  <br> 7 <br> + <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 0 \\ & + \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| 0.03 | 0.05 | 5.81365 | 0.05831 | 2.030 | 0.575 | 8.443 | 0.030 | 379.37 | 0.03 | 2019.33681 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.834 | 0.007 | 146.44 |  |  |
| 0.03 | 0.05 | 43.69652 | 0.05831 | 343.745 | 0.076 | 8.443 | 0.030 | 379.37 | 0.03 | 2019.33681 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.784 | 0.016 | 66.69 |  |  |
| 0.04 | 0.05 | 48.79924 | 0.06403 | 325.838 | 0.075 | 11.608 | 0.031 | 174.86 | 0.03 | 2019.33408 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.903 | 0.032 | 99.20 |  |  |
| 0.03 | 0.04 | 25.13029 | 0.05000 | 254.324 | 0.114 | 10.712 | 0.030 | 249.29 | 0.03 | 2019.33411 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.307 | 0.031 | 132.18 |  |  |
| 0.08 | 0.08 | 19.21968 | 0.11314 | 18.373 | 0.337 | 11.584 | 0.007 | 152.87 | 0.09 | 2019.33412 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.675 | 0.091 | 97.33 |  |  |
| 0.05 | 0.05 | 42.57931 | 0.07071 | 210.438 | 0.095 | 11.433 | 0.050 | 176.11 | 0.05 | 2019.33413 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.079 | 0.051 | 130.31 |  |  |
| 0.06 | 0.06 | 11.57972 | 0.08485 | 211.151 | 0.420 | 12.929 | 0.051 | 89.74 | 0.05 | 2019.33414 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.382 | 0.052 | 67.99 |  |  |
| 0.03 | 0.03 | 18.22414 | 0.04243 | 217.542 | 0.133 | 13.140 | 0.071 | 83.54 | 0.07 | 2019.33408 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.827 | 0.072 | 61.58 |  |  |
| 0.09 | 0.04 | 4.03828 | 0.09849 | 224.303 | 1.397 | 13.171 | 0.043 | 73.70 | 0.04 | 2019.33411 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.794 | 0.044 | 58.73 |  |  |
| 0.09 | 0.04 | 5.44260 | 0.09849 | 19.196 | 1.037 | 13.171 | 0.043 | 73.70 | 0.04 | 2019.33411 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.246 | 0.045 | 50.01 |  |  |
| 0.04 | 0.05 | 6.72041 | 0.06403 | 12.544 | 0.546 | 11.862 | 0.051 | 144.26 | 0.05 | 2019.33410 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.104 | 0.052 | 79.83 |  |  |
| 0.06 | 0.08 | 8.94288 | 0.10000 | 3.076 | 0.641 | 11.631 | 0.041 | 147.05 | 0.04 | 2019.33413 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.202 | 0.048 | 41.84 |  |  |




| $12406+4017$ | HJ 2617 A <br> HJ 2617 B |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12406+4017$ | BKO 114 A <br> BKO 114 D |
| $13124+3908$ | KZA 46 |
| $13211+3548$ | KZA 50 |
|  | KZA 54 |
| $13219+4416$ | KZA 56 |
| $13226+4336$ | KZA 60 |
| $13235+3534$ | LDS1375 |
| $13156+3042$ | UC 2520 A |
|  | UC 2520 B |
| $13208+3748$ | PAL 4 A |
| $13208+3748$ | UC 2510 |
| $13166+3818$ | UC 2529 |

## Cross-Match with GAIA DR2

Notes

1) SNR B $<20$
2) Overlapping
3) Overlapping star disks
All listed objects were additionally cross-matched with GAIA DR2 to check for potential gravitational relationship by using the DR2 data for a Monte Carlo simulation (see Appendix for details). The results are given below in Table 2 with the following structure:
WDS Discoverer ID or WDSS ID Components (AB if blank) Position angle in degrees Error position angle
Separation in arcseconds Error separation
Parallax primary in mas
Error parallax primary
Parallax secondary in mas
Error parallax secondary

Minimum spatial distance in AU between components
Median spatial distance in AU between components Likelihood of potential gravitational relationship DR2 StarHorse median mass value primary DR2 StarHorse median mass value secondary Minimum orbit period with DR2 StarHorse median mass values (blank for LPGR <0.5) Median orbit period with DR2 StarHorse median mass values (blank for LPGR $<0.5$ ) Notes given below the table

Table 2: Results for DR2 cross-matched WDS/WDSS objects
MinP MedP
$\stackrel{i}{2}$
${ }^{2}$

M2(50)

LPGR M1(50)

## MedD $(A U)$


$\epsilon_{p i_{2}}$
※̃
${ }^{E}$ $\operatorname{MinD}$
$(\mathrm{AU})$
$E$
0.000033 .4038

0.000063 .1291

0
0
1
-

0
8
8
0
0 0.000033 .1337
 0.000035 .0369 0.000114 .4783 0.000043 .3321 0.000052 .4094 0.000044 .5681 0.000032 .4945 8
0
0
0
0
$\infty$
0
0
8
8
0 0
0
0
0
0
$\infty$
0
8
0
0



$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{AU})$ M2(50) $\operatorname{MinP}(\mathrm{M} 50)$ MedP(M50)

| Object | $\theta$ | $\epsilon_{\theta}$ | $\rho$ | $\epsilon_{\rho}$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\epsilon_{\pi_{1}}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\epsilon_{p i_{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{MinD} \\ & (\mathrm{AU}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MedD } \\ & \text { (AU) } \end{aligned}$ | LPGR | M1(50) | M2(50) | MinP <br> (M50) | MedP (M50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEM9002 | 223.150 | 0.000 | 8.95026 | 0.00003 | 3.4038 | 0.0231 | 3.4356 | 0.0235 | 2601 | 595793 | 17.16 | 0.99139297 | 0.94015223 | 95971 | 332727663 |
| BRT 26 | 325.293 | 0.001 | 4.49113 | 0.00004 | 3.4925 | 0.0356 | 3.4180 | 0.0341 | 1290 | 1289943 | 6.00 | 0.91325313 | 0.88097417 | 34765 | 1099804590 |
| ES 1549 | 299.670 | 0.000 | 6.93191 | 0.00006 | 3.1291 | 0.0519 | 3.1029 | 0.0306 | 2196 | 950423 | 11.18 | 1.13237286 | 1.00736046 | 70724 | 636933116 |
| HJ 1230 | 169.830 | 0.000 | 16.39405 | 0.00003 | 1.7562 | 0.0245 | 1.7742 | 0.0232 | 9011 | 1724732 | 6.17 | 1.02946723 | 1.02576506 | 600012 | 1588731090 |
| HJL1083 | 281.811 | 0.000 | 99.41856 | 0.00003 | 3.1337 | 0.0288 | 1.4455 | 0.0311 | 64085732 | 76863343 | 0.00 | 1.17109084 | 1.12814772 |  |  |
| KPP 298 | 172.954 | 0.000 | 4.89014 | 0.00003 | 1.7184 | 0.0249 | 1.6983 | 0.0219 | 2789 | 1890037 | 5.65 | 1.03975987 | 1.01966155 | 103225 | 1820670956 |
| KPP 673 | 12.143 | 0.000 | 6.04186 | 0.00003 | 5.0369 | 0.0213 | 5.0636 | 0.0185 | 1190 | 229370 | 43.99 | 0.73900265 | 0.65034574 | 35040 | 93712794 |
| KPP 869 | 213.961 | 0.001 | 7.32831 | 0.00011 | 4.4783 | 0.1221 | 4.7496 | 0.0339 | 1540 | 2620833 | 1.46 | 0.92860049 | 0.90126181 | 44902 | 3153902853 |
| KPP1058 | 30.422 | 0.000 | 8.42572 | 0.00004 | 3.3321 | 0.0375 | 3.2752 | 0.0219 | 2536 | 1087437 | 8.17 | 1.09389830 | 0.69939500 | 95885 | 851488411 |
| KPP1091 | 74.184 | 0.000 | 8.65426 | 0.00005 | 2.4094 | 0.0449 | 2.5569 | 0.0293 | 3461 | 4937439 | 0.23 | 1.23772395 | 0.76972806 |  |  |
| KPP1264 | 221.758 | 0.000 | 9.67852 | 0.00004 | 4.5681 | 0.0431 | 4.5689 | 0.0220 | 2100 | 321595 | 32.51 | 0.74705315 | 0.69900900 | 80477 | 152499353 |
| KPP1267 | 328.764 | 0.000 | 9.68829 | 0.00003 | 2.4945 | 0.0233 | 2.4760 | 0.0191 | 3844 | 816805 | 13.00 | 0.92904806 | 0.73062336 | 186032 | 576188686 |
| KPP1594 | 226.014 | 0.002 | 12.10810 | 0.00038 | 9.9890 | 0.3527 | 8.7399 | 0.0184 | 8710 | 2949899 | 0.03 | 0.67958546 | 0.54737014 |  |  |
| KPP1796 | 237.865 | 0.000 | 13.91535 | 0.00008 | 3.3206 | 0.0387 | 2.9230 | 0.0857 | 17314 | 8458619 | 0.00 | 1.08781898 | 0.84588432 |  |  |

$\operatorname{Min} D(A U)$
Med $D(A U)$ LPGR M1(50)

| 232167 | 56119541 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 568790 | 529860638 |
|  |  |
| 14554 | 39674985 |
| 604 | 56700084 |
| $1)$ |  |
| 24196 | 31995313 |
| 5310 | 30168289 |
| 575 | $2)$ |
| 5759 | 18733836 |
| 594717 | 46382950 |
| 5177 | 14255152 |
| 10908 | 18058670 |
| 34641 | 31084624 |
| 62768 | 50275553 |
| 4995 | 12455011 |
| 93992 | 7183678 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 1515920 | 560049356 |
| 223459 | 1807655519 |
| 128427 | 43846331 |
| 5270 | 6751074 |
|  |  |
| 16400 | 15840889 |
| 55258 | 210434457 |

$\begin{array}{llll}7252917 & 0.07 & 1.06736457 & 0.74674934\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}7252917 & & 0.07 \\ 164655 & 58.83 & 0.73463666 & 0.69851589\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}1.12553918 & 0.82976294 \\ 1.05537057 & 0.82601243 \\ 0.60222888 & 0.60004646 \\ 1.00000000 & 1.00000000 \\ 0.79929084 & 0.59925455 \\ 0.63000000 & 0.63296354 \\ 0.64922309 & 0.59940493 \\ 0.64950794 & 0.55066031 \\ 0.56000000 & 0.53000000 \\ 0.89634675 & 0.49849528 \\ 0.55004287 & 0.44911414 \\ 0.92802906 & 0.34907988 \\ 1.11630666 & 0.90261042 \\ 2.01891708 & 0.50165701 \\ 1.11220658 & 1.01851916 \\ 1.12631285 & 1.02119076 \\ 1.04697990 & 0.97288877 \\ 1.12608540 & 1.02707481 \\ & 15\end{array}$ 0.944331050 .89852941
 $\begin{array}{ll}0.64937556 & 0.60026121 \\ 0.64937556 & 0.85005802\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}0.64937556 & 0.85005802 \\ 0.75008655 & 0.65142661\end{array}$ 0.866931260 .61773920
 はNo.
 $\begin{array}{lll}0.0546 & 2.9065 & 0.0746 \\ 0.0164 & 4.7642 & 0.0178 \\ 0.0298 & 2.4166 & 0.0158 \\ 0.0455 & 2.3403 & 0.0335 \\ 0.0382 & 9.1404 & 0.0252 \\ 0.0725 & 16.9671 & 0.0608 \\ 0.0403 & 8.4260 & 0.0345 \\ 0.0378 & 9.7817 & 0.0413 \\ 0.0356 & 10.2934 & 0.0369 \\ 0.0224 & 6.8590 & 0.0294 \\ 0.0350 & 9.9959 & 0.0242 \\ 0.0422 & 13.6237 & 0.0445 \\ 0.0280 & 9.3543 & 0.0434 \\ 0.0332 & 9.0197 & 0.0414 \\ 0.0517 & 15.3911 & 0.0650 \\ 0.0517 & 15.4183 & 0.0512 \\ 0.0357 & 2.0159 & 0.0282 \\ 0.0298 & 2.2696 & 0.0289 \\ 0.0283 & 1.6204 & 0.0273 \\ 0.0276 & 2.4519 & 0.0259 \\ 0.0321 & 2.4967 & 0.0232 \\ 0.0349 & 6.3080 & 0.0199 \\ 0.0268 & 12.5162 & 0.0249 \\ 0.0268 & 1.7502 & 0.0222 \\ 0.0290 & 9.2927 & 0.0323 \\ 0.0355 & 5.4685 & 0.0247\end{array}$

All objects in table 2 were already cross-matched with GAIA data in other reports. so the values given here on separation and position angle are referenced as input for assessing the likelihood of potential gravitational relationship but not intended for updating the WDS catalog. For the objects with LPGR $>50$ WDS code " T " is suggested for likely physical by common parallaxes but in all these cases (may be with exception of KPP3873) the potential orbit period is far too long to detect any changes in separation and position angle by visual observation over a reasonable time frame. For the objects with LPGR $<10$ WDS code "U" for likely optical is suggested.
$\begin{array}{ll}0.000 & 17.50379 \\ 0.000 & 20.33783\end{array}$


$0.000 \quad 5.79485$ 0.0031 .51090




 $\begin{array}{lll}0.000 & 15.41719\end{array}$




 | 18 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
|  |
| 8 |

 | $\circ$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
| 8 |
| 8 |
| - | $\begin{array}{llll}0.000 & 18.22326 & 0.00003 & 6.3099 \\ 0.000 & 4.07999 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 5.43064 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 6.71319 & 0.00004 & 9.2980 \\ 0.000 & 9.03028 & 0.00004 & 5.4111\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0.000 & 18.22326 & 0.00003 & 6.3099 \\ 0.000 & 4.07999 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 5.43064 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 6.71319 & 0.00004 & 9.2980 \\ 0.000 & 9.03028 & 0.00004 & 5.4111\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0.000 & 18.22326 & 0.00003 & 6.3099 \\ 0.000 & 4.07999 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 5.43064 & 0.00003 & 12.5379 \\ 0.000 & 6.71319 & 0.00004 & 9.2980 \\ 0.000 & 9.03028 & 0.00004 & 5.4111\end{array}$


Notes

1) Sun mass assumed for both components 2) Mass primary estimated similar to secondary based on similar visual magnitudes
2) Mass estimations based on luminosity 3) Mass estimations based on luminosity

Summary
A part of the measured objects shows the expected magnitude difference larger than 0.5 compared with the WDS catalog data especially for the secondary but for many objects the given WDS magnitudes were simply confirmed within the given error range. 16 objects have parallaxes and angular separations allowing for a higher than $50 \%$ likelihood for a spatial distance between the components of less than $200,000 \mathrm{AU}$ ( $\sim 1$ parsec) suggesting potential gravitational relationship and 24 objects are most likely opticals.
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Appendix
Description of the PGR assessment procedure (according to Knapp²):
- GAIA DR2 data for RA, Dec and Plx are used for a Monte Carlo simulation assuming a normal distribution for these parameters with
the given error range as standard deviation. The distance between the components is calculated from the inverted simulated parallax data and the simulated angular separation using the law of $\cos \left(\sqrt{a^{2}-2 * a * b * \cos (\operatorname{sep})+b^{2}}\right)$ with $a$ and $b$ distance vectors for the stars A and B in lightyears calculated as $(1000 / \mathrm{Plx}) * 3.261631$ and sep $=$ angular separation in degrees calculated as $\operatorname{sep}=\arccos \left(\sin \left(\delta_{1}\right) * \sin \left(\delta_{2}\right)+\cos \left(\delta_{1}\right) *\right.$ $\cos \left(\delta_{2}\right) * \cos \left(\operatorname{abs}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\right)$
- The likelihood for potential gravitational relationship (LPGR) is the percentage of simulation results $<200,000 \mathrm{AU}$ ( $\sim 1$ parsec) out of the simulation sample with a size of 120,000 corresponding with the likelihood that the real distance is smaller than $200,000 \mathrm{AU}$ with an margin of error of $0.37 \%$ at
- Ignoring the likely effects of eccentricity the smallest/median/largest distance is used as estimation for the value for the semi-major axis mass data from Anders et al. ${ }^{1}$ or if not available mass estimation from luminosity ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ for assumed masses between 0.43 and 2 Sun masses or if luminosity values are not available then in total double Sun mass or other estimations.
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